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What is metastability?

Metastability is a phenomenon where a system, under the influence of a stochastic dynamics, moves between different regions of its state space on different time scales.

- **Fast time scale**: quasi-equilibrium within single subregion
- **Slow time scale**: transitions between different subregions
Metastable behaviour is the dynamical manifestation of a first-order phase transition, for instance: condensation.

When vapour is cooled rapidly below the critical temperature, we see that the system will persist for long time in a metastable vapour state (supersaturated gas) before transiting (rapidly) to the new stable liquid state under some random fluctuations.

Why?
Metastable behaviour is the dynamical manifestation of a first-order phase transition, for instance: condensation.

The system has to form a critical droplet of liquid to trigger the crossover, which then will grow and invade the whole space. But many unsuccessful attempts because forming small droplets results in an increasing of free energy...
Several results for metastable behaviour of stochastic models on the lattice

Continuum systems modelling fluids are very difficult to study. Rigorous proof of the presence of phase transitions has been achieved only for few models:

- Widom-Rowlinson model (Ruelle, ’71)
- Kac models with 2-body attraction and 4-body repulsion (Lebowitz, Mazel and Presutti, ’99)

Metastability for continuum systems:

- Crystalisation of 2-dimensional particles interacting via a soft-disk potential (Jansen and den Hollander, in preparation)
- We will focus on the Widom-Rowlinson model, adapting what has been done in the discrete. This is very challenging!
The static Widom-Rowlinson model

$\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with periodic boundary conditions, $\Gamma$ set of particle configurations with

$$\Gamma = \{ \gamma \subset \Lambda : N(\gamma) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \}, \quad N(\gamma) : \text{cardinality of } \gamma$$

halo of a configuration

$$h(\gamma) = \bigcup_{x \in \gamma} B_2(x)$$

$$V_0 := |B_2(0)|$$

$| \cdot | : \text{Leb. measure}$
The static Widom-Rowlinson model

Hamiltonian

\[ H(\gamma) = |h(\gamma)| - N(\gamma)V_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad -(N(\gamma) - 1)V_0 \leq H(\gamma) \leq 0 \quad \text{(attractive)} \]

halo of a configuration

\[ h(\gamma) = \bigcup_{x \in \gamma} B_2(x) \]

\[ V_0 := |B_2(0)| \]

\[ |\cdot| : \text{Leb. measure} \]

\[ \mu(d\gamma) = \frac{z^{N(\gamma)}}{\Xi} e^{-\beta H(\gamma)} Q(d\gamma), \quad \text{Grand-canonical Gibbs measure} \]
The static Widom-Rowlinson model

Hamiltonian

\[ H(\gamma) = |h(\gamma)| - N(\gamma)V_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad -(N(\gamma) - 1)V_0 \leq H(\gamma) \leq 0 \quad (\text{attractive}) \]

- \( Q \): Poisson point process with intensity 1
- \( z \in (0, \infty) \): activity
- \( \beta \in (0, \infty) \): inverse temperature
- \( \Xi \): grand-canonical partition function
- notation: \( V(\gamma) = |h(\gamma)| \)

\[ \mu(d\gamma) = \frac{z^{N(\gamma)}}{\Xi} e^{-\beta H(\gamma)} Q(d\gamma), \quad \text{Grand-canonical Gibbs measure} \]
The 2-species Widom-Rowlinson model

Two type of particles (blue, red) with configurations $\gamma^B, \gamma^R$

Interaction:
Hard-core with radius 2 between particles with different color (imagine particles as disks of radius 1)

Grand-canonical Gibbs measure:

$$\tilde{\mu}(d\gamma^R, d\gamma^B) = \frac{1}{\tilde{\Xi}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\text{red-blue hard-core}\}} z_R^{N(\gamma^R)} z_B^{N(\gamma^B)} Q(d\gamma^R) Q(d\gamma^B)$$
Equivalence of the 1-species and 2-species

Fix the red and integrate over the blue:

\[
\frac{1}{z} \int \mathcal{Q}(d\gamma^B) \mathbf{1}_{\text{red-blue hard-core}} \ z_R^{N(\gamma^R)} z_B^{N(\gamma^B)} = \text{const.} \ \frac{z^{N(\gamma^R)}}{\Xi} e^{-\beta H(\gamma^R)}
\]

\[
(z_B, z_R) \rightarrow (\beta, z e^{\beta V_0})
\]
Phase transition

Coexistence line: \( z_R = z_B \) in the 2-species model

\[
z_c(\beta) = \beta e^{-V_0 \beta}
\]

\( \beta < \beta_c \) single phase

\( \beta > \beta_c \) two phases: gas/liquid

Phase transition at the thermodynamic limit, i.e. \( \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^d \).
(D. Ruelle, ’71; J.T. Chayes, L. Chayes and R. Kotecký, ’95)
The dynamic WR model

Heat bath dynamics

Particle configuration is a continuous-time Markov process \((\gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}\) with state space \(\Gamma\) and with generator

\[
(Lf)(\gamma) = \int_{\Lambda} \text{d}x \ b(x, \gamma) \left[ f(\gamma \cup x) - f(\gamma) \right] + \sum_{x \in \gamma} d(x, \gamma) \left[ f(\gamma \setminus x) - f(\gamma) \right]
\]

where particles are added at rate \(b\) and removed at rate \(d\)

\[
b(x, \gamma) = ze^{-\beta[H(\gamma \cup x) - H(\gamma)]}, \quad x \notin \gamma, \quad d(x, \gamma) = 1, \quad x \in \gamma.
\]

The grand-canonical Gibbs measure is reversible, i.e.

\[
b(x, \gamma) e^{-\beta H(\gamma)} = d(x, \gamma \cup x) e^{-\beta H(\gamma \cup x)}, \quad x \notin \gamma, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.
\]
Start with empty box $\square = \emptyset$ (preparation in vapour state)

Choose $z = \kappa z_c(\beta)$, $z_c(\beta) = \beta e^{-\beta V_0}$, $\kappa \in (1, \infty)$, (reservoir is supersaturated vapour),

Wait for the first time the system reaches the full box $\blacksquare = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : h(\gamma) = \Lambda\}$ (condensation to liquid state)

Question: In the regime

$$\beta \to \infty$$

$\Lambda$ fixed

what is the law of

$$\tau_{\blacksquare} = \inf\{t > 0 : \gamma_t = \blacksquare\}?$$
Results

\[ R \in [2, \infty), \quad \mathcal{U}_\kappa : [2, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathcal{U}_\kappa(R) = \pi R^2 - \kappa \pi (R - 2)^2 \]

\[ \mathcal{U}_\kappa(R) \]
\[ R \quad R_c(\kappa) \]

\[ R_c(\kappa) = \frac{2\kappa}{\kappa - 1} \]

\[ R_c(\kappa) \]
\[ \kappa \]
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Theorem 1 [Arrhenius formula]
For every $\kappa \in (1, \infty)$,

$$E_{\square}(\tau) = \exp \left[ \beta U(\kappa) - \beta^{1/3} S(\kappa) + o(\beta^{1/3}) \right], \quad \beta \to \infty$$

with

$$U(\kappa) := U_{\kappa}(R_c(\kappa)) = \frac{4\pi \kappa}{\kappa - 1}$$

$$S(\kappa) = \frac{s}{6^{1/3}} \frac{\kappa^{2/3}}{\kappa - 1}$$

where $s \in (0, \infty)$ is the unique solution of a certain integral equation.
Plots of the key quantities $\mathcal{U}(\kappa)$ and $S(\kappa)$ in the Arrhenius formula

- $\mathcal{U}(\kappa)$ is the **volume free energy** of the critical droplet
- $S(\kappa)$ is the **surface free energy** of the critical droplet (associated with the surface fluctuations)
Theorem 2 [Exponential law]
For every $\kappa \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\lim_{\beta \to \infty} P_{\Box} (\tau_{\Box} / E_{\Box}(\tau_{\Box}) > t) = e^{-t} \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$ 

Theorem 3 [Critical droplet]
For every $\kappa \in (1, \infty)$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\beta \to \infty} P_{\Box} (\tau_{C_{\delta}(\kappa)} < \tau_{\Box} \mid \tau_{\Box} > \tau_{\Box}) = 1$$

where

$$C_{\delta}(\kappa) = \left\{ \gamma \in \Gamma : \exists x \in \Lambda, B_{R_c(\kappa) - \delta}(x) \subset h(\gamma) \subset B_{R_c(\kappa) + \delta}(x) \right\}$$
A droplet of radius $R$ filled with 2-disks: $\asymp \beta$ disks in the interior, $\asymp \beta^{1/3}$ disks on the boundary
The birth rate is

\[ b(x, \gamma) = z e^{-\beta[H(\gamma \cup x) - H(\gamma)]} = z e^{V_0 \beta} e^{-\beta[V(\gamma \cup x) - V(\gamma)]} \]

particles inside a cluster are created at a rate \( z e^{V_0 \beta} \sim \kappa \beta \) (remember \( z = \kappa z_c(\beta) \), \( z_c(\beta) = \beta e^{-V_0 \beta} \))

Inside a droplet Poisson process with intensity \( \kappa \beta \gg 1 \) !!!
The birth rate is

\[ b(x, \gamma) = z e^{V_0 \beta} e^{-\beta [V(\gamma \cup x) - V(\gamma)]} \]

particles sticking out are created at a rate exp small in “sticking out” area (yellow area), which is function of the local curvature!
When adding a new particle to a locally disk-like halo, with $s$ protruding distance

$$
\Delta V(s) = C(R) \frac{s^3}{2} [1 + O(s)], \quad \text{dist}(A, B) \sim s^{1/2}, \quad s \downarrow 0
$$

For $e^{-\beta \Delta V(s)}$ not to be negligible we have $\Delta V(s) \sim \beta^{-1}$ and $s \sim \beta^{-2/3}$,

$$
\Rightarrow \text{dist}(A, B) \sim \beta^{-1/3} \quad \text{(width of a bump)}
$$

number of boundary circles $\sim \beta^{1/3}$
Potential theoretic approach

Bovier, Eckhoff, Gayrard and Klein, 2001
Bovier and den Hollander, 2015

 Translates the problem of understanding the metastable behaviour of Markov processes to the study of capacities of electric networks. Link between mean metastable crossover time and capacity

$$E_{\square}(\tau_{\blacksquare}) = [1 + o(1)] \frac{\mu(\square)}{\text{cap}(\square, \blacksquare)}, \quad \beta \to \infty$$

where the capacity of $\square, \blacksquare \subset \Gamma$ is defined as

$$\text{cap}(\square, \blacksquare) = \int_{\square} \mu(d\gamma) P_\gamma(\tau_{\blacksquare} < \tau_{\square})$$

and $\tau_C = \inf\{t > 0: X_t \in C, X_{t^-} \notin C\}$ is the first return time to $C \subset \Gamma$. So instead of computing $\tau_{\blacksquare}$, estimate $\text{cap}(\square, \blacksquare)$ ...
Potential theoretic approach

Estimate capacity via the **Dirichlet principle**

\[
\text{cap}(\square, \blacksquare) = \inf_{f: \quad \Gamma \to [0,1]} \quad \mathcal{E}(f, f), \quad \text{where}
\]

\[
\mathcal{E}(f, f) = \int_{\Gamma} f(\gamma)(-Lf)(\gamma) \mu(d\gamma)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\Xi} \int_{\Gamma} Q(d\gamma) \int_{\Lambda} dx \; z^{N(\gamma \cup x)} e^{-\beta H(\gamma \cup x)} \left[ f(\gamma \cup x) - f(\gamma) \right]^2.
\]

- **Upper bound:** Estimate \(\text{cap}(\square, \blacksquare) \leq \mathcal{E}(f, f)\), for a test function \(f\) that is guessed via physical insight.

- **Lower bound:** Use the **Thomson principle**

\[
\text{cap}(\square, \blacksquare) = \sup_{f: \quad \Gamma \to [0,1]} \quad \frac{\mathcal{E}(1_{\square}, f)^2}{\mathcal{E}(f, f)}
\]

\[L f \leq 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \setminus (\square, \blacksquare)\]
Choose test function

\[
\text{cap}(\Box, \blacksquare) \leq \frac{1}{\Xi} \int_{\Gamma} Q(d\gamma) \int_{\Lambda} dx \ (\kappa/\beta)^N(\gamma \cup x) e^{-\beta V(\gamma \cup x)} \left[f(\gamma \cup x) - f(\gamma)\right]^2
\]

…and get an upper bound for the capacity.

\[
\text{cap}(\Box, \blacksquare) \leq O(\beta) I_1(\kappa, \beta; \eta) + \text{smaller orders}
\]

\[
I_1(\kappa, \beta; \eta) = \int_{\Gamma} Q(d\gamma) (\kappa/\beta)^N(\gamma) e^{-\beta V(\gamma)} 1\{V(\gamma) \in \pi R^2_c + [-\eta, \eta]\}
\]

The biggest contribution to the capacity comes from the configurations where the volume of the halo is close to the volume of the critical disk.
The asymptotics of $I_1$ gives the asymptotics of the capacity. We choose $\eta = C\beta^{-2/3}$ and we want to prove

$$I_1(\kappa, \beta; C\beta^{-2/3}) = \int_\Gamma Q(d\gamma) (\kappa \beta)^{N(\gamma)} e^{-\beta V(\gamma)} 1\{V(\gamma) \in \pi R^2_c + [-C,C] \beta^{-2/3}\}$$

$$= \exp \left[ - \beta U(\kappa) + \beta^{1/3} S(\kappa) + o(\beta^{1/3}) \right], \quad \beta \to \infty.$$
The asymptotics of $I_1$ gives the asymptotics of the capacity. We choose $\eta = C\beta^{-2/3}$ and we want to prove

$$I_1(\kappa, \beta; C\beta^{-2/3}) = \int_{\Gamma} Q(d\gamma) (\kappa\beta)^{N(\gamma)} e^{-\beta V(\gamma)} 1\{V(\gamma) \in \pi R^2_c + [-C, C] \beta^{-2/3}\}$$

$$= \exp \left[ -\beta U(\kappa) + \beta^{1/3} S(\kappa) + o(\beta^{1/3}) \right], \quad \beta \to \infty.$$

- $U(\kappa)$ comes from LDP plus isoperimetric inequality
- $S(\kappa)$ comes from MDP after reparametrizing every halo through its $O(\beta^{1/3})$ boundary points
Let $S$ be a halo shape.
Let $S^- = \{ x \in S : B_2(x) \subset S \}$ be the 2-interior of $S$ and $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ be the set of “admissible” halo shapes.
Let $S^- = \{x \in S : B_2(x) \subset S\}$ the 2-interior of $S$ and $S_{\Lambda}$ be the set of “admissible” halo shapes.

### Proposition [LDP for halo volume]

The family of probability measures $(\mathbb{P}_\beta)_{\beta \geq 1}$ on $[0, \infty)$ defined by

$$\mathbb{P}_\beta(C) = \frac{1}{\Xi} \int_\Gamma Q(d\gamma) (\kappa \beta)^{N(\gamma)} e^{-\beta V(\gamma)} \mathbf{1}_{\{V(\gamma) \in C\}}, \quad C \subseteq [0, \infty) \text{ Borel},$$

satisfies the large deviation principle with rate $\beta$ and rate function $\mathcal{I}$ given by

$$\mathcal{I} = \sup_{[0, \infty)} J - J,$$

$$J(x) = \inf\{ |S| - \kappa|S^-| : S \in S_{\Lambda}, |S| = x \}, \quad x \in [0, \infty).$$

LDP for volume comes as a corollary from an LPD for halo shapes and particle positions.

[ideas from T. Schreiber, 2003]
Let $S^- = \{ x \in S : B_2(x) \subset S \}$ the 2-interior of $S$ and $S_\Lambda$ be the set of “admissible” halo shapes.

**Proposition [Isoperimetric inequality]**

For every $R \in (2, \frac{1}{2} L)$,

$$\min \left\{ |S| - \kappa |S^-| : S \in S_\Lambda, |S| = \pi R^2 \right\} = \pi R^2 - \kappa \pi (R - 2)^2 = U_\kappa(R)$$

and the minimisers are the disks of radius $R$. Moreover, for every $S \in S_\Lambda$ and $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} |S| - \kappa |S^-| - U_\kappa(R) \leq 2\pi \kappa \epsilon \\ |S| = \pi R^2 \end{array} \right\} \implies d_H(B_R, S) \leq \sqrt{(2R + \epsilon)^2 - (2R)^2},$$

where $d_H$ denotes the Hausdorff distance.

[standard isoperimetric inequality and Bonnesen’s strong isoperimetric inequality]
Moderate deviation and surface term

We want to prove

\[ I_1(\kappa, \beta; C\beta^{-2/3}) = \int_{\Gamma} Q(\text{d}\gamma) (\kappa\beta)^{N(\gamma)} e^{-\beta V(\gamma)} 1_{\{V(\gamma) \in \pi R_c^2 + [-C,C] \beta^{-2/3}\}} \]

\[ = \exp \left[ -\beta U(\kappa) + \beta^{1/3} S(\kappa) + o(\beta^{1/3}) \right], \quad \beta \to \infty. \]

Via LD for the volume of droplet and isoperimetric we prove (roughly)

\[ \int_{\Gamma} Q(\text{d}\gamma) (\kappa\beta)^{N(\gamma)} e^{-\beta V(\gamma)} 1_{\{V(\gamma) \approx \pi R^2\}} \approx e^{-\beta U_\kappa(R)}, \quad \beta \to \infty \]

(remember \( U_\kappa(R_C) = U(\kappa) \)).

For the next term, we need control at a more refined level → moderate deviations for the surface free energy of the droplet!
Moderate deviation and surface term

We want to prove

\[ I_1(\kappa, \beta; C\beta^{-2/3}) = \int_{\Gamma} Q(d\gamma) (\kappa \beta)^N(\gamma) e^{-\beta V(\gamma)} 1_{\{V(\gamma) \in \pi R^2_c + [-C, C] \beta^{-2/3}\}} \]

\[ = \exp \left[ -\beta U(\kappa) + \beta^{1/3} S(\kappa) + o(\beta^{1/3}) \right], \quad \beta \to \infty. \]

Via LD for the volume of droplet and isoperimetric we prove (roughly)

\[ \int_{\Gamma} Q(d\gamma) (\kappa \beta)^N(\gamma) e^{-\beta V(\gamma)} 1_{\{V(\gamma) \approx \pi R^2\}} \approx e^{-\beta U_\kappa(R)}, \quad \beta \to \infty \]

(remember \( U_\kappa(R_c) = U(\kappa) \)).

Very roughly, we want to compute

\[ \frac{1}{\beta^{1/3}} \log \left\{ e^{\beta U(\kappa)} \int_{\Gamma} Q(d\gamma) (\kappa \beta)^N(\gamma) e^{-\beta V(\gamma)} 1_{\{V(\gamma) \approx \pi R^2_c\}} \right\} \approx ? \quad \beta \to \infty \]
Parametrize the halo’s boundary points by polar coordinates: \( \{ \rho_i, \theta_i \}, i = 1, \ldots, n \), with \( \rho_{n+1} = \rho_1, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i = 2\pi \).

Conjecture:

\[
\rho_i = W_{t_i}, \quad t_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \theta_j, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,
\]

\[
W_t = (R_c(\kappa) - 2) + \sqrt{(R_c(\kappa) - 2)/2 \beta} \ V_t,
\]

where \( (V_t)_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \) is standard Brownian motion conditioned on being periodic and have \( \int_0^{2\pi} V_t \, dt = 0 \).
Concluding remarks

- W-R is a very “simple” model for fluids, but displays a rich behavior (geometric interaction, continuum symmetries, Wulff shape...)

- The details of the computation are rather delicate and need to be precise enough in order to produce the surface free energy factor in the Arrhenius formula.

- There are many challenges in understanding metastability of continuum particle systems!

Open problems...

Thank you for your attention!