

## Sheet 3

rev 1 - 20160121

- Beyond Young
- Rough paths
- Controlled paths

### 1 Beyond Young

When trying to go beyond Young integral we face the fundamental problem that we cannot expect the integral to be a continuous operations (recall our basic counterexample).

#### 1.1 A general existence result: the para-integral

A natural question is that if it is always possible to find a function  $I(f, g)$  which satisfies

$$\delta I(f, g)(s, t) = f(s) \delta g(s, t) + O(|t - s|^{\alpha + \beta}) \quad (1)$$

with  $f \in C^\alpha$  and  $g \in C^\beta$  but with  $\alpha + \beta < 1$ .

##### Remark 1.

- Uniqueness does not hold anymore since if  $I$  is a solution then  $\hat{I} = I + \varphi$  is also a solution of (1) for any  $\varphi \in C^{\alpha + \beta}$ .
- Find such a function is equivalent to ask for a solution  $J(f, g) \in C_2^{\alpha + \beta}$  of

$$\delta J(f, g)(s, u, t) = \delta f(s, u) \delta g(u, t)$$

since then we can let  $\delta I(f, g) = f \delta g - J(f, g)$ .

- We can always consider

$$J_s(f, g)(s, t) = \frac{1}{2} \delta f(s, t) \delta g(s, t)$$

for which we have

$$\delta J_s(f, g) = \frac{\delta f \delta g + \delta g \delta f}{2}$$

and

$$\|J_s(f, g)\|_{\alpha + \beta} \leq \|f\|_\alpha \|g\|_\beta.$$

So in case  $f = g$  we can always take  $J(f, g) = J_s(f, g)$ .

iv. If we consider  $J_1(f, g)(s, t) = -f(s)\delta g(s, t)$  we have

$$\delta J_1(f, g)(s, u, t) = -f(s)\delta g(s, t) + f(s)\delta g(s, u) + f(u)\delta g(u, t) = \delta f(s, u)\delta g(u, t)$$

as required (indeed it differs from the iterated integral by the increment of a function). However the regularity is not ok, indeed we have only

$$|J_1(f, g)(s, t)| \leq \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_\beta |t - s|^\beta.$$

Another possibility is

$$J_2(f, g)(s, t) = \delta f(s, t)g(t) = J_1(f, g)(s, t) + f(t)g(t) - f(s)g(s)$$

since it differs from the previous one by the increment of the function  $t \mapsto f(t)g(t)$ . Still the regularity is not ok.

A decomposition of the functions  $f, g$  into an infinite sequence of blocks living in different scales will allow us to combine the observations contained in the Remark 1 (iv) to produce a map (in general not unique) solving eq. (1).

**Theorem 2.** (Paraintegral) For any  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  such that  $\alpha + \beta < 1$  there exists a continuous map  $J_{<}: C^\alpha \times C^\beta \rightarrow C_2^{\alpha+\beta}$  such that

$$\delta J_{<}(f, g)(s, u, t) = \delta f(s, u)\delta g(u, t), \quad s < u < t.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\rho: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  smooth, compactly supported around 0 and of integral one. Let  $\rho_n(t) = 2^n \rho(2^n t)$  and  $f_n = \rho_n * f - \rho_{n-1} * f$  for  $n \geq 1$  with  $f_0 = \rho_0 * f$  and a similar definition for  $g_n$ . With these definitions we have  $f(t) = \sum_n f_n(t)$ . Direct estimates give that

$$|f_n(t)| \lesssim \|f\|_\alpha 2^{-n\alpha}, \quad |\partial_t f_n(t)| \lesssim \|f\|_\alpha 2^{n-n\alpha},$$

and similar estimates for  $g_n$  where the constants depends only on  $\rho$ . These estimate also show that the sum of the series converges uniformly in  $t$ . Now take the combination of  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  given by

$$J_{<}(f, g) = \sum_{m \leq n} J_1(f_n, g_m) + \sum_{m > n} J_2(f_n, g_m)$$

and note that

$$\delta J_{<}(f, g) = \sum_{m \leq n} \delta J_1(f_n, g_m) + \sum_{m > n} \delta J_2(f_n, g_m) = \sum_{m \leq n} \delta f_n \delta g_m + \sum_{m > n} \delta f_n \delta g_m = \delta f \delta g$$

as required. But now if  $0 < \alpha + \beta < 1$  we can estimate

$$|J_1(f_n, g_m)(s, t)| \lesssim \|f\|_\alpha \|g\|_\beta 2^{-n\alpha - m\beta} (1 \wedge 2^m |t - s|),$$

$$|J_2(f_n, g_m)(s, t)| \lesssim \|f\|_\alpha \|g\|_\beta 2^{-n\alpha - m\beta} (1 \wedge 2^n |t - s|).$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_{\prec}(f, g)(s, t)| &\lesssim \|f\|_{\alpha} \|g\|_{\beta} \sum_{m \leq n} 2^{-n\alpha - m\beta} (1 \wedge 2^m |t - s|) + \|f\|_{\alpha} \|g\|_{\beta} \sum_{m > n} 2^{-n\alpha - m\beta} (1 \wedge 2^n |t - s|) \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\alpha} \|g\|_{\beta} \sum_m 2^{-m\alpha - m\beta} (1 \wedge 2^m |t - s|) + \|f\|_{\alpha} \|g\|_{\beta} \sum_n 2^{-n\alpha - n\beta} (1 \wedge 2^n |t - s|) \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\alpha} \|g\|_{\beta} \sum_{m: 2^m |t-s| > 1} 2^{-m\alpha - m\beta} + \|f\|_{\alpha} \|g\|_{\beta} |t - s| \sum_{m: 2^m |t-s| \leq 1} 2^{m - m\alpha - m\beta} \lesssim \|f\|_{\alpha} \|g\|_{\beta} |t - s|^{\alpha + \beta}
\end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\|J_{\prec}(f, g)\|_{\alpha + \beta} \lesssim \|f\|_{\alpha} \|g\|_{\beta}$ . □

## 1.2 Some tools

### Regularity of 2-increments.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $A: \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow V$  and*

$$Q_{\alpha, p}(A) = \left[ \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{-2n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n - 1} \left| \frac{A(d_i^n, d_{i+1}^n)}{2^{-n\alpha}} \right|^p \right]^{1/p}.$$

Assume that  $\delta A = \sum_i H_i$  (finite sum). Then if  $\alpha p > 2$  we have

$$\sup_{t, s \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{|A(s, t)|}{|t - s|^{\alpha - 2/p}} \leq C Q_{\alpha, p}(A) + \sum_i \sup_{s < u < t \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{|H_i(s, u, t)|}{|t - u|^{\rho_i} |u - s|^{\sigma_i}}$$

for any choice of  $\rho_i, \sigma_i > 0$  such that  $\rho_i + \sigma_i \geq \alpha - 2/p$ .

**Proof.** Recall that  $A(a, b) = A(a, c) + A(c, d) + A(d, b) + \delta A(c, d, b) + \delta A(a, c, b)$ . If  $t, s \in \mathbb{D}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A(s, t) &= A(s^{\ell-}, t^{\ell-}) + \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{\infty} A(t^{(k-1)-}, t^{k-}) + \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{\infty} A(s^{(k-1)-}, s^{k-}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{\infty} \delta A(t^{k-}, s^{(k-1)-}, s^{k-}) + \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{\infty} \delta A(t^{(k-1)-}, t^{k-}, s^{k-})
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\ell$  is the greatest integer which satisfies  $2^{-\ell-1} < |t - s| \leq 2^{-\ell}$ . Then

$$|A(t^{(k-1)-}, t^{k-})|^p \leq 2^{-k\alpha p + 2k} Q_{\alpha, p}(A)^p$$

for all  $k \geq \ell$  and

$$|\delta A(t^{k-}, s^{(k-1)-}, s^{k-})| \leq \sum_i |H_i(t^{k-}, s^{(k-1)-}, s^{k-})| \leq \sum_i 2^{-\ell \sigma_i} 2^{-k\rho_i} K_i$$

where

$$K_i = \sup_{s < u < t \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{|H_i(s, u, t)|}{|t - u|^{\rho_i} |u - s|^{\sigma_i}}.$$

If  $\alpha > 2/p$  we have

$$|A(s, t)| \leq Q_{\alpha, p}(A) \left[ 2^{-\ell\alpha + 2\ell/p} + 2 \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{\infty} 2^{-k\alpha + 2k/p} \right] + \sum_i K_i \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{\infty} (2^{-\ell\sigma_i} 2^{-k\rho_i} + 2^{-\ell\rho_i} 2^{-k\sigma_i})$$

$$\lesssim \left( Q_{\alpha, p}(A) + \sum_i K_i \right) |t - s|^{\alpha - 2/p}. \quad \square$$

To bound the martingale expectation, we will use the following Burkholder inequality:

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $m$  be a continuous local martingale with  $m_0 = 0$ . Then for all  $T \geq 0$  and  $p > 1$ ,*

$$E[\sup_{t \leq T} |m_t|^{2p}] \leq C_p E[\langle m \rangle_T^p].$$

**Proof.** Start by assuming that  $m$  and  $\langle m \rangle$  are bounded. Itô's formula yields

$$d|m_t|^{2p} = (2p)|m_t|^{2p-1} dm_t + \frac{1}{2}(2p)(2p-1)|m_t|^{2p-2} d\langle m \rangle_t,$$

and therefore

$$E[|m_T|^{2p}] = C_p E \left[ \int_0^T |m_s|^{2p-2} d\langle m \rangle_s \right] \leq C_p E[\sup_{t \leq T} |m_t|^{2p-2} \langle m \rangle_T].$$

By Cauchy–Schwartz we get

$$E[|m_T|^{2p}] \leq C_p E[\sup_{t \leq T} |m_t|^{2p}]^{(2p-2)/2p} E[\langle m \rangle_T^p]^{1/p}.$$

But now Doob's  $L^p$  inequality yields  $E[\sup_{t \leq T} |m_t|^{2p}] \leq C'_p E[|m_T|^{2p}]$ , and this implies the claim in the bounded case. The unbounded case can be treated with a localization argument.  $\square$

### 1.3 Stochastic integrals

Stochastic integrals provide another source of solutions to eq. (1). Let us fix a given filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_t)$  in the following.

**Lemma 5.** *Assume that  $M$  is a continuous martingale and  $h$  an adapted process. Assume that  $\mathbb{E}[\|h\|_\alpha^p] < +\infty$  for any  $p \geq 1$  and that  $|d\langle M \rangle_t/dt| \leq L$ . Let  $I_{\text{Itô}}(h, M)$  be the Itô integral*

$$I_{\text{Itô}}(h, M)(t) = \int_0^t h(s) dM(s).$$

Then a.s.

$$\delta I_{\text{Itô}}(h, M)(s, t) - h(s) \delta M(s, t) = O(|t - s|^{\alpha + \beta})$$

for any  $\beta < 1/2$ .

**Proof.** The hypothesis  $|\langle M \rangle_t| \leq L$  and Lemma 4 readily give

$$\mathbb{E}|\delta M(s, t)|^{2p} \leq C_p L^p |t - s|^p$$

for all  $p \geq 1$ . This implies  $\mathbb{E}[Q_{1/2, 2p}(M)^{2p}] < \infty$  for all  $p \geq 1$ , giving  $\mathbb{E}\|M\|_{1/2-1/p}^{2p} < \infty$  for all  $p > 2$ . Let  $J_{\text{Itô}}(h, M)(s, t) = \delta I_{\text{Itô}}(h, M)(s, t) - h(s)\delta M(s, t) = \int_s^t \delta h(s, u) dM(u)$ . Then

$$|\delta J_{\text{Itô}}(h, M)(s, u, t)| = |\delta h(s, u)\delta M(u, t)| \leq \|h\|_\alpha \|M\|_\beta |t - u|^\beta |u - s|^\alpha$$

for all  $\beta < 1/2$ . Next, using again Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|J_{\text{Itô}}(h, M)(s, t)|^{2p} &\leq C_p \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left[ \int_s^t (\delta h(s, u))^2 d\langle M \rangle_u \right]^p \right\} \leq C_p L^p \mathbb{E}[\|h\|_\alpha^{2p}] \left[ \int_s^t |u - s|^{2\alpha} du \right]^p \\ &\leq C_p L^p \mathbb{E}[\|h\|_\alpha^{2p}] |t - s|^{2p(\alpha+1/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have that  $\mathbb{E}[Q_{\alpha+1/2, 2p}(J_{\text{Itô}}(h, M))^{2p}] < \infty$  for all  $p \geq 1$ . Using Lemma 3 we can conclude that  $\mathbb{E}\|J_{\text{Itô}}(h, M)\|_{\alpha+\beta-1/p}^{2p} < \infty$  for all  $p > 1/(\alpha + \beta)$ .  $\square$

## 1.4 Integration of closed 1-forms

Now consider  $x \in C^\gamma([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\nabla_i \varphi_j - \nabla_j \varphi_i = 0$ . This means that the one form  $\omega = \varphi_i(x) dx^i$  is closed:  $d\omega = \nabla_j \varphi_i(x) dx^j \wedge dx^i = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_j \varphi_i(x) - \nabla_i \varphi_j(x)) dx^j \wedge dx^i = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta(\varphi_i(x)\delta x^i) &= \delta\varphi_i(x)\delta x^i = \nabla_j \varphi_i(x)\delta x^j \delta x^i + C_3^{3\gamma} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_j \varphi_i(x) + \nabla_i \varphi_j(x))\delta x^j \delta x^i + C_3^{3\gamma} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(\nabla_j \varphi_i(x) + \nabla_i \varphi_j(x))(\delta x^j \delta x^i + \delta x^i \delta x^j) + C_3^{3\gamma} \\ &= \nabla_j \varphi_i(x)\delta S^{i,j} + C_3^{3\gamma} = \delta(\nabla_j \varphi_i(x)S^{i,j}) + C_3^{3\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

with  $S^{i,j}(s, t) = \frac{1}{2}\delta x^i(s, t)\delta x^j(s, t)$ . In other words

$$\delta(\varphi_i(x)\delta x^i + \nabla_j \varphi_i(x)S^{i,j}) \in C_3^{3\gamma}$$

which means that there exists a unique  $y$  such that

$$\delta y = \varphi_i(x)\delta x^i + \nabla_j \varphi_i(x)S^{i,j} + C_2^{3\gamma}.$$

Let us call

$$y(t) = \int_0^t \varphi_i(x(s)) dx^i(s).$$

In  $\mathbb{R}^d$  the fact that  $\omega$  is closed, implies that it is also exact: there exists  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\omega = d\psi$ . This means that  $\varphi_i = \nabla_i \psi$ . Now, Taylor expansion gives

$$\delta\psi(x) = \nabla_i \psi(x)\delta x^i + \nabla_j \nabla_i \psi S^{i,j} + O(|t - s|^{3\gamma})$$

so we can identify  $\delta y = \delta\psi(x)$  and we have

$$\psi(x(t)) - \psi(x(0)) = \int_0^t \varphi_i(x(s)) dx^i(s).$$

Valid until  $\gamma > 1/3$ . Similar results hold for any  $\gamma > 0$ . When  $d = 1$  any one-form is exact so this result allow to integrate an arbitrary function along an arbitrary Hölder path. When  $d > 1$  the closedness condition is non-trivial and only particular one-forms can be integrated, namely those which are differentials of scalar functions.

## 2 Rough paths

In the following we will fix  $\gamma > 1/3$  and an interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . All the Hölder spaces will considered on this interval unless specified otherwise.

**Definition 6.** A  $\gamma$ -Hölder rough path  $\mathbb{X} = (\mathbb{X}^1, \mathbb{X}^2)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is a pair

$$\mathbb{X}^1 \in C_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \mathbb{X}^2 \in C_2^{2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d)$$

with  $\mathbb{X}^1 = \delta x$  for some  $x \in C^\gamma(I; \mathbb{R}^d)$  and satisfying the Chen relation :

$$\delta \mathbb{X}^2(s, u, t) = \mathbb{X}^1(s, u) \mathbb{X}^1(u, t), \quad s < u < t.$$

We say that  $\mathbb{X}$  lies above  $x$ . We denote collectively  $(X^i)_{i=1, \dots, d}$  and  $(X^{i,j})_{i,j=1, \dots, d}$  the components of  $\mathbb{X}^1$  and  $\mathbb{X}^2$  with respect to the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{E}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the space of the  $\gamma$ -Hölder rough paths in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and we let

$$\|\mathbb{X}\|_{\mathcal{E}^\gamma} = \|\mathbb{X}^1\|_\gamma + \|\mathbb{X}^2\|_{2\gamma}.$$

On  $\mathcal{E}^\gamma$  we consider the distance  $d_{\mathcal{E}^\gamma}(\mathbb{X}, \tilde{\mathbb{X}}) = \|\mathbb{X} - \tilde{\mathbb{X}}\|_{\mathcal{E}^\gamma}$ . With  $\mathcal{E}_x^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{E}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we denote the subset of rough paths lying above a given  $x \in C^\gamma(I; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , the “fiber” at  $x$ .

- i. The space of rough paths is not a linear space since the Chen relation is non-linear.
- ii. We can interpret the data  $X^i, X^{i,j}$  as the given of the (abstract) iterated integrals

$$X^i(s, t) = \int_{s < u < t} dx^i(u), \quad X^{i,j}(s, t) = \int_{s < u < v < t} dx^i(u) dx^j(v)$$

together with suitable regularity as elements in  $C_2$ .

- iii. When  $\gamma > 1/2$  there can be at most only one rough path above a given path  $x$ . It is given by

$$\mathbb{X}^1(s, t) = x(t) - x(s), \quad \mathbb{X}^2(s, t) = \int_s^t \mathbb{X}^1(s, u) \otimes d_u x(u)$$

where the integral is understood in Young sense (or as a classical Lebesgue integral if  $x \in C^1$ ). This rough path is called the *canonical lift* of  $x$ .

- iv. Take  $\gamma < 1/2$ . If  $\mathbb{X} \in \mathcal{C}_x^\gamma$  and  $\varphi \in C^{2\gamma}(I; \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d)$  then  $\tilde{\mathbb{X}} = (\mathbb{X}^1, \mathbb{X}^2 + \delta\varphi)$  is also an element of  $\mathcal{C}_x^\gamma$  and all of them have this form. In particular there are infinitely many rough paths above the same path if  $\gamma < 1/2$  (or none). The fiber  $\mathcal{C}_x^\gamma$  is an affine space with vector space  $C^{2\gamma}(I; \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d)$  and action  $(\mathbb{X}, \varphi) \mapsto (\mathbb{X}^1, \mathbb{X}^2 + \delta\varphi)$ . Elements in  $\mathcal{C}_0^\gamma \simeq C^{2\gamma}(I; \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d)$  are called *pure area* rough paths.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $\gamma < 1/2$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_x^\gamma$  is not empty.*

**Proof.** Let  $x \in C^\gamma$ . Fix  $\rho < \gamma$  and consider a sequence  $(y_n \in C^1)_{n \geq 1}$  such that  $x = \sum_n y_n$  in  $C^\rho$  and  $\|y_n\|_\infty + 2^{-n}\|\dot{y}_n\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{-n\gamma}\|x\|_\gamma$  (such a sequence always exists). Let  $\mathbb{X}_n^1 = \sum_{k \leq n} \delta y_k$  and define recursively  $\mathbb{X}_n^2$  as

$$\mathbb{X}_{n+1}^2(s, t) = \mathbb{X}_n^2(s, t) + \mathbb{X}_n^1(s, t)y_{n+1}(t) - y_{n+1}(s)\mathbb{X}_n^1(s, t) - y_{n+1}(s)\delta y_{n+1}(s, t)$$

then if  $\delta\mathbb{X}_n^2 = \mathbb{X}_n^1\mathbb{X}_n^1$  we have also

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathbb{X}_{n+1}^2(s, u, t) &= \mathbb{X}_n^1(s, u)\mathbb{X}_n^1(u, t) + \mathbb{X}_n^1(s, u)\delta y_{n+1}(u, t) + \delta y_{n+1}(s, u)\mathbb{X}_n^1(u, t) + \delta y_{n+1}(s, u)\delta y_{n+1}(u, t) \\ &= \mathbb{X}_{n+1}^1(s, u)\mathbb{X}_{n+1}^1(u, t). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{X}_{n+1}^2(s, t) - \mathbb{X}_n^2(s, t)| &\leq |\mathbb{X}_n^1(s, t)y_{n+1}(t)| + |y_{n+1}(s)\mathbb{X}_n^1(s, t)| + |y_{n+1}(s)\delta y_{n+1}(s, t)| \\ &\lesssim \|x\|_\gamma^2 2^{-\gamma(n+1)}|t-s|^{2\rho} 2^{(2\rho-\gamma)n} \lesssim \|x\|_\gamma^2 |t-s|^{2\rho} 2^{(2\rho-2\gamma)n} \end{aligned}$$

so the sequence  $(\mathbb{X}_n^2)_n$  converges in  $C^{2\rho}$  to an element which we call  $\mathbb{X}^2$  and such that  $\delta\mathbb{X}^2 = \mathbb{X}^1\mathbb{X}^1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{X}^2(s, t)| &\leq \sum_n |\mathbb{X}_{n+1}^2(s, t) - \mathbb{X}_n^2(s, t)| \\ &= \sum_{n: 2^n|t-s| \leq 1} |\mathbb{X}_{n+1}^2(s, t) - \mathbb{X}_n^2(s, t)| + \sum_{n: 2^n|t-s| > 1} |\mathbb{X}_{n+1}^2(s, t) - \mathbb{X}_n^2(s, t)| \\ &\lesssim \|x\|_\gamma^2 \sum_{n: 2^n|t-s| \leq 1} 2^{n-2\gamma n}|t-s| + \|x\|_\gamma \sum_{n: 2^n|t-s| > 1} 2^{-\gamma n}|t-s|^\gamma \lesssim \|x\|_\gamma^2 |t-s|^{2\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\mathbb{X} = (\mathbb{X}^1, \mathbb{X}^2)$  we have  $\mathbb{X} \in \mathcal{C}_x^\gamma$  as required. □

When  $\gamma > 1/2$  rough paths satisfy an additional algebraic relation, called the shuffle relation:

$$X^i(s, t)X^j(s, t) = X^{ij}(s, t) + X^{ji}(s, t). \quad (2)$$

**Definition 8.** *We call weakly geometric rough paths satisfying the relation eq. (2) and denote them collectively with  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{wg}}^\gamma$ . Moreover we denote by  $\mathcal{C}_g^\gamma$  the closure of  $\mathcal{C}^1$  in  $\mathcal{C}^\gamma$  and call them geometric rough paths.*

If  $\gamma > 1/2$  we have  $\mathcal{C}^\gamma = \mathcal{C}_{\text{wg}}^\gamma = \mathcal{C}_g^\gamma$ . Since elements of  $\mathcal{C}^1$  satisfy the shuffle relation, this will remain valid also for all elements of  $\mathcal{C}_g^\gamma$  so  $\mathcal{C}_g^\gamma \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{wg}}^\gamma \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\gamma$  for any  $\gamma$ . As far as the relation between  $\mathcal{C}_g^\gamma$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{wg}}^\gamma$  is concerned we have the following result

**Theorem 9.** For every  $\mathbb{X} \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{wg}}^\gamma$  there exists a sequence  $(\mathbb{X}_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\mathcal{C}^1$  such that  $\mathbb{X}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  in  $\mathcal{C}^\rho$  for any  $\rho < \gamma$ . In particular  $\mathcal{C}_g^\gamma \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{wg}}^\gamma \subseteq \mathcal{C}_g^\rho$ .

As a preliminary to a proof of this theorem let us discuss a particular case, the approximation theory for pure area rough paths.

**Theorem 10.** Assume  $\gamma < 1/2$  and let  $\varphi \in C^{2\gamma}(I; \mathbb{R}^d \otimes_a \mathbb{R}^d)$  then there exists  $x_n \in C^1$  such that the canonical lift  $\mathbb{X}_n$  converges in  $\mathcal{C}^\rho$  to the pure area path  $\mathbb{X} = (0, \delta\varphi)$  for any  $\rho < \gamma$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(\varphi_n)_n$  a sequence in  $C^1$  converging to  $\varphi$  in  $C^{2\rho}$  for some  $\rho < \gamma$  and such that  $\|\dot{\varphi}_n\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{n-n\gamma}\|\varphi\|_\gamma$ . Fix sufficiently large positive numbers  $(L_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,d}$  all different one from the other and let

$$x_n^i(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \dot{\varphi}_n^{ij}(t) 2^{-L_{ij}n/2} \sin(2^{L_{ij}n}t) + \sum_j 2^{-L_{ji}n/2} \cos(2^{L_{ji}n}t).$$

By a long but direct estimation we can show that

$$\left\| \int_s^t (x_n^i(u) - x_n^i(s)) d_u x_n^j(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t (\dot{\varphi}_n^{ji}(u) - \dot{\varphi}_n^{ij}(u)) du \right\|_{C_2^{1-}} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_s^t (\dot{\varphi}_n^{ji}(u) - \dot{\varphi}_n^{ij}(u)) du \rightarrow \delta\varphi^{ij}(s, t)$$

in  $C_2^{2\rho}$ . Since  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $C^{1/2-}$  the claim follows. In order to show the main estimate note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_s^t (x_n^i(u) - x_n^i(s)) d_u x_n^j(u) = \\ &= - \sum_{k,m} \int_s^t 2^{-L_{ik}n/2} 2^{L_{mj}n/2} [\dot{\varphi}_n^{ik}(u) \sin(2^{L_{ik}n}u) - \dot{\varphi}_n^{ik}(s) \sin(2^{L_{ik}n}s)] \sin(2^{L_{mj}n}u) du \\ &+ \sum_{k,m} \int_s^t 2^{-L_{ik}n/2} 2^{L_{mj}n/2} [\dot{\varphi}_n^{jm}(u) \cos(2^{L_{ik}n}u) - \dot{\varphi}_n^{jm}(s) \cos(2^{L_{ik}n}s)] \cos(2^{L_{mj}n}u) du \\ &- \sum_{k,m} \int_s^t 2^{-L_{ik}n/2} 2^{L_{mj}n/2} [\cos(2^{L_{ik}n}u) - \cos(2^{L_{ik}n}s)] \sin(2^{L_{mj}n}u) du \\ &+ \sum_{k,m} \int_s^t 2^{-L_{ik}n/2} 2^{L_{mj}n/2} [\dot{\varphi}_n^{ik}(u) \sin(2^{L_{ik}n}u) - \dot{\varphi}_n^{ik}(s) \sin(2^{L_{ik}n}s)] \dot{\varphi}_n^{jm}(u) \cos(2^{L_{mj}n}u) du \end{aligned}$$

and that by trigonometric identities all the integrals contains oscillating factors with frequencies of the form  $2^{L_{ik}n} \pm 2^{L_{mj}n}$  which are different from zero unless  $k = m$ . Moreover the only terms which produce non-oscillating factors are those of the form  $\sin - \sin$  or  $\cos - \cos$  which are then linear in  $\dot{\varphi}_n$ . Using integration by parts and the fact that the numbers  $L$  are large enough to beat the growth of  $\dot{\varphi}_n$  all the oscillating terms can be shown to go to zero (one use also the fact that boundary terms vanishes). The claim follows directly.  $\square$

**Proof.** (of Thm. 9) The general case is similar to the pure area case. Let  $\mathbb{X} \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{wg},x}^\gamma$  for some  $x \in C^\gamma$ . Fix  $\rho < \gamma$  and consider a sequence  $(y_n \in C^1)_{n \geq 1}$  such that  $x = \sum_n y_n$  in  $C^\rho$  and  $\|y_n\|_\infty + 2^{-n} \|\dot{y}_n\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{-n\gamma} \|x\|_\gamma$  (such a sequence always exists). Let  $y_{\leq n} = \sum_{k \leq n} y_k$  and

$$x_n^i(t) = y_{\leq n}^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \dot{\varphi}_n^{ij}(t) 2^{-L_{ij}n/2} \sin(2^{L_{ij}n}t) + \sum_j 2^{-L_{jn}n/2} \cos(2^{L_{jn}n}t).$$

(notations as in the previous theorem) where  $\varphi_n$  is for the moment an indeterminate sequence. Using the same ideas as above we can show that

$$\left\| \int_s^t (x_n^i(u) - x_n^i(s)) d_u x_n^j(u) - \int_s^t (y_{\leq n}^i(u) - y_{\leq n}^i(s)) d_u y_{\leq n}^j(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t (\dot{\varphi}_n^{ji}(u) - \dot{\varphi}_n^{ij}(u)) du \right\|_{C_2^1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now the point is that we can choose the sequence  $\varphi$  such that it cancels the contribution of the antisymmetric part of  $\int_s^t (y_{\leq n}^i(u) - y_{\leq n}^i(s)) d_u y_{\leq n}^j(u)$  and replaces it with an approximation (converging in  $C^{2\gamma}$ ) of the antisymmetric part of  $\mathbb{X}^2$ . We leave the details to the reader.  $\square$

Weakly geometric rough paths can be approximated by lifts of smooth paths by loosing just a bit regularity in the convergence statement. Approximation of general rough path is less clear. In particular we cannot hope to approximate a general rough path with smooth canonical lifts since the shuffle relation is not true in the limit. But as we will now see, this is the only obstruction.

Let  $\mathbb{X} \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma$  and consider the defect in the shuffle relation

$$D^{ij}(s, t) = X^i(s, t)X^j(s, t) - X^{ij}(s, t) - X^{ji}(s, t).$$

A simple computation shows that  $\delta D^{ij} = 0$ , indeed:

$$\delta D^{ij}(s, u, t) = X^i(s, u)X^j(u, t) + X^j(s, u)X^i(u, t) - X^i(s, u)X^j(u, t) - X^j(s, u)X^i(u, t) = 0.$$

Moreover  $D \in C_2^{2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d \otimes_s \mathbb{R}^d)$  where  $\mathbb{R}^d \otimes_s \mathbb{R}^d$  denotes the symmetric tensor product. Then there exists a function  $d \in C^{2\gamma}(I; \mathbb{R}^d \otimes_s \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $D = \delta d$ . We can define now  $\mathbb{X}_g = (\mathbb{X}^1, \mathbb{X}^2 + \delta d/2)$  and check that  $\mathbb{X}_g \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{wg}}^\gamma$ :

$$\begin{aligned} X_g^i(s, t)X_g^j(s, t) &= X^i(s, t)X^j(s, t) = X^{ij}(s, t) + X^{ji}(s, t) + D^{ij}(s, t) \\ &= X^{ij}(s, t) + \frac{1}{2}\delta d^{ij}(s, t) + X^{ji}(s, t) + \frac{1}{2}\delta d^{ji}(s, t) = X_g^{ij}(s, t) + X_g^{ji}(s, t). \end{aligned}$$

So to every rough path  $\mathbb{X} \in \mathcal{C}_x^\gamma$  lying above  $x$  we can associate a geometric rough path  $\mathbb{X}_g \in \mathcal{C}_{g,x}^\gamma$  by modifying its the symmetric part of its second order component. Note that this projection is not unique since there are a priori many weakly geometric rough paths above the same path, differing one from the other by an antisymmetric increment in the second order component: indeed  $\tilde{\mathbb{X}}_g = (\mathbb{X}_g^1, \mathbb{X}_g^2 + \delta\varphi)$  with  $\varphi \in C^{2\gamma}(I; \mathbb{R}^d \otimes_a \mathbb{R}^d)$  is again in  $\mathcal{C}_{g,x}^\gamma$ .

This construction shows the existence of an isomorphism of metric spaces :

$$\mathcal{C}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) \simeq \mathcal{C}_{\text{wg}}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) \times C^{2\gamma}(I; \mathbb{R}^d \otimes_s \mathbb{R}^d).$$

(see Hairer–Kelly for a generalisation of these considerations)

### 3 Controlled paths

**Definition 11.** A pair  $(h, h^X)$  where  $h \in C^\gamma([0, 1]; V)$  and  $h^X \in C^\gamma([0, 1]; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d; V))$  is a path controlled by  $x$  if

$$h^\sharp(s, t) = \delta h(s, t) - h^X(s) \mathbb{X}^1(s, t) \in C_2^{2\gamma}(V).$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{X}^{2\gamma}(V)$  the linear space space of paths controlled by  $\mathbb{X}$  and on  $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{X}^{2\gamma}$  we consider the semi–norm

$$\|(h, h^X)\|_{\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{X}^{2\gamma}} = \|h^X\|_\gamma + \|h^\sharp\|_{2\gamma}.$$

Given a rough path  $\mathbb{X}$  and path  $(h, h^X) \in \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{X}^{2\gamma}(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d; V))$  controlled by  $\mathbb{X}$  we can define a new controlled path  $(z, z^X) \in \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{X}^{2\gamma}(V)$  by letting  $z^X = h$  and  $z$  the unique solution to

$$\delta z(s, t) = h(s) \mathbb{X}^1(s, t) + h^X(s) \mathbb{X}^2(s, t) + z^\sharp(s, t)$$

with  $z^\sharp \in C_2^{3\gamma}(V)$ . We call it the integral of  $h$  with respect to  $\mathbb{X}$ .

**Theorem 12.** Given a rough path  $\mathbb{X}$  and path  $(h, h^X) \in \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{X}^{2\gamma}(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d; V))$  controlled by  $\mathbb{X}$  we can define a new controlled path  $(z, z^X) \in \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{X}^{2\gamma}(V)$  by letting  $z^X = h$  and  $z$  the unique solution to

$$\delta z(s, t) = h(s) \mathbb{X}^1(s, t) + h^X(s) \mathbb{X}^2(s, t) + z^\sharp(s, t)$$

with  $z^\sharp \in C_2^{3\gamma}(V)$ . We call it the integral of  $h$  with respect to  $\mathbb{X}$  and we have

$$\|z^\sharp\|_{3\gamma} \lesssim \|(h, h^X)\|_{\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{X}^{2\gamma}} (1 + \|\mathbb{X}\|_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma})$$

and

$$\|(z, z^X)\|_{\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{X}^{2\gamma}, \tau} \lesssim \|\mathbb{X}\|_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma, 1} (\|h^X\|_{\infty, \tau} + \tau^\gamma \|(h, h^X)\|_{\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{X}^{2\gamma}, \tau})$$

**Proof.** Such a path is clearly unique and is well defined since if we let

$$A(s, t) = h(s) \mathbb{X}^1(s, t) + h^X(s) \mathbb{X}^2(s, t)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A(s, u, t) &= -\delta h(s, u) \mathbb{X}^1(u, t) - \delta h^X(s, u) \mathbb{X}^2(u, t) + h^X(s) \delta \mathbb{X}^2(s, u, t) \\ &= -(\delta h(s, u) - h^X(s) \mathbb{X}^1(s, u)) \mathbb{X}^1(u, t) - \delta h^X(s, u) \mathbb{X}^2(u, t) = -h^\sharp(s, u) \mathbb{X}^1(u, t) - \delta h^X(s, u) \mathbb{X}^2(u, t) \end{aligned}$$

and by assumption  $\delta A \in C_3^{3\gamma}(V)$  so that we can apply the sewing map to obtain

$$z^\sharp = \Lambda(h^\sharp \mathbb{X}^1 + \delta h^X \mathbb{X}^2) \in C_2^{3\gamma}(V).$$

Then

$$\|z^X\|_{\gamma, \tau} \leq \|h^X\|_{\infty, \tau} \|\mathbb{X}^1\|_{\gamma, \tau} + \|h^\sharp\|_{2\gamma, \tau}, \quad \|z^\sharp\|_{2\gamma, \tau} \leq \|h^X\|_{\infty, \tau} \|\mathbb{X}^2\|_{2\gamma, \tau} + \tau^\gamma \|z^\sharp\|_{3\gamma, \tau}$$

and the final bound follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 13.** Let  $(f, f^X) \in \mathcal{D}_\times^{2\gamma}(V)$  and let  $\varphi \in C^2(V; W)$  then  $(\varphi(f), \varphi(f)^X) \in \mathcal{D}_\times^{2\gamma}(W)$  where  $\varphi(f)(t) = \varphi(f(t))$  and  $\varphi(f)^X = \nabla \varphi(f) f^X \in C^\gamma(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d; W))$ . Moreover

$$\|(\varphi(f), \varphi(f)^X)\|_{\mathcal{D}_\times^{2\gamma}} \lesssim C_\varphi (1 + \|(f, f^X)\|_{\mathcal{D}_\times^{2\gamma}})^2.$$

**Proof.** Taylor expansion gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \varphi(f)(s, t) &= \int_0^1 d\tau \nabla \varphi(f(s) + \tau \delta f(s, t)) \delta f(s, t) \\ &= \nabla \varphi(f(s)) \delta f(s, t) + \int_0^1 (1 - \tau) d\tau \nabla^2 \varphi(f(s) + \tau \delta f(s, t)) (\delta f(s, t) \otimes \delta f(s, t)) \\ &= \nabla \varphi(f(s)) \delta f(s, t) + O(|t - s|^{2\gamma}) \end{aligned}$$

Using the controlled hypothesis on  $f$  we get

$$\delta \varphi(f)(s, t) = \nabla \varphi(f(s)) f^X(s) \mathbb{X}^1(s, t) + \varphi(f)^\sharp(s, t)$$

where

$$\varphi(f)^\sharp(s, t) = \nabla \varphi(f(s)) f^\sharp(s, t) + \int_0^1 (1 - \tau) d\tau \nabla^2 \varphi(f(s) + \tau \delta f(s, t)) (\delta f(s, t) \otimes \delta f(s, t)).$$

Then we can let  $\varphi(f)^X(s) = \nabla \varphi(f(s)) f^X(s)$  and observe that

$$\|\varphi(f)^\sharp\|_{2\gamma} \lesssim \|\nabla \varphi\|_\infty \|f^\sharp\|_{2\gamma} + \|\nabla^2 \varphi\|_\infty \|f\|_\gamma^2 \lesssim C_\varphi (1 + \|(f, f^X)\|_{\mathcal{D}_\times})^2.$$

$\square$