

# Generalized Orlicz Spaces and Wasserstein Distances for Convex-Concave Scale Functions

Karl-Theodor Sturm

## Abstract

Given a strictly increasing, continuous function  $\vartheta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , based on the cost functional  $\int_{X \times X} \vartheta(d(x, y)) dq(x, y)$ , we define the  $L^\vartheta$ -Wasserstein distance  $W_\vartheta(\mu, \nu)$  between probability measures  $\mu, \nu$  on some metric space  $(X, d)$ . The function  $\vartheta$  will be assumed to admit a representation  $\vartheta = \varphi \circ \psi$  as a composition of a convex and a concave function  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , resp. Besides convex functions and concave functions this includes all  $C^2$  functions.

For such functions  $\vartheta$  we extend the concept of Orlicz spaces, defining the metric space  $L^\vartheta(X, m)$  of measurable functions  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that, for instance,

$$d_\vartheta(f, g) \leq 1 \iff \int_X \vartheta(|f(x) - g(x)|) d\mu(x) \leq 1.$$

## 1 Convex-Concave Compositions

Throughout this paper,  $\vartheta$  will be a strictly increasing, continuous function from  $\mathbb{R}_+$  to  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with  $\vartheta(0) = 0$ .

**Definition 1.1.**  $\vartheta$  will be called *ccc function* ("convex-concave composition") iff there exist two strictly increasing continuous functions  $\varphi, \psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $\varphi(0) = \psi(0) = 0$  s.t.  $\varphi$  is convex,  $\psi$  is concave and

$$\vartheta = \varphi \circ \psi.$$

The pair  $(\varphi, \psi)$  will be called *convex-concave factorization* of  $\vartheta$ .

The factorization is called *minimal* (or *non-redundant*) if for any other factorization  $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi})$  the function  $\varphi^{-1} \circ \tilde{\varphi}$  is convex.

Two minimal factorizations of a given function  $\vartheta$  differ only by a linear change of variables. Indeed, if  $\varphi^{-1} \circ \tilde{\varphi}$  is convex and also  $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1} \circ \varphi$  is convex then there exists a  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  s.t.  $\tilde{\varphi}(t) = \varphi(\lambda t)$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \psi(t)$ .

For each convex, concave or ccc function  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  put  $f'(t) := f'(t+) := \lim_{h \searrow 0} \frac{1}{h} [f(t+h) - f(t)]$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** (i) For any ccc function  $\vartheta$ , the function  $\log \vartheta'$  is locally of bounded variation and the distribution  $(\log \vartheta)'$  defines a signed Radon measure on  $(0, \infty)$ , henceforth denoted by  $d(\log \vartheta')$ .

(ii) A pair  $(\varphi, \psi)$  of strictly increasing convex or concave, resp., continuous functions with  $\varphi(0) = \psi(0) = 0$  is a factorization of  $\vartheta$  iff

$$d(\log \vartheta') = \psi_*^{-1} d(\log \varphi') + d(\log \psi') \tag{1}$$

in the sense of signed Radon measures.

(iii) The factorization  $(\varphi, \psi)$  is minimal iff for any other factorization  $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi})$

$$-d(\log \psi') \leq -d(\log \tilde{\psi}')$$

in the sense of nonnegative Radon measures on  $(0, \infty)$ .

(iv) Every ccc function  $\vartheta$  admits a minimal factorization  $(\check{\vartheta}, \hat{\vartheta})$  given by  $\check{\vartheta} := \vartheta \circ \hat{\vartheta}^{-1}$  and

$$\hat{\vartheta}(x) := \int_0^x \exp\left(-\int_1^y d\nu_-(z)\right) dy$$

where  $d\nu_-(z)$  denotes the negative part of the Radon measure  $d\nu(z) = d(\log \vartheta')(z)$ .

*Proof.* (i), (ii): The chain rule for convex/concave functions yields

$$\vartheta'(t) = \varphi'(\psi(t)) \cdot \psi'(t)$$

for each factorization  $(\varphi, \psi)$  of a ccc function  $\vartheta$ . Taking logarithms it implies that  $\log \vartheta'$  locally is a BV function (as a difference of two increasing functions) and, hence, that the associated Radon measures satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} d(\log \vartheta') &= d(\log \varphi' \circ \psi) + d(\log \psi') \\ &= \psi_*^{-1} d(\log \varphi') + d(\log \psi'). \end{aligned}$$

(iii): The factorization  $(\varphi, \psi)$  is minimal if and only if for any other factorization  $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi})$  the function  $u = \varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi = \psi \circ \tilde{\psi}^{-1}$  is convex. Since  $\log \psi' = \log u'(\tilde{\psi}) + \log \tilde{\psi}'$ , the latter is equivalent to

$$d(\log \psi') \geq d(\log \tilde{\psi}')$$

which is the claim.

(iv): Define  $\hat{\vartheta}$  as above. It remains to verify that  $\hat{\vartheta} < \infty$ . Let  $(\varphi, \psi)$  be any convex-concave factorization of  $\vartheta$ . Without restriction assume  $\psi'(1) = 1$ . Then the Hahn decomposition of (1) yields

$$d\nu_- \leq -d(\log \psi'). \quad (2)$$

Hence, for all  $0 \leq x \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \hat{\vartheta}(x) &= \int_0^x \exp\left(\int_y^1 d\nu_-(z)\right) dy \\ &\leq \int_0^x \exp\left(-\int_y^1 d(\log \psi')(z)\right) dy = \psi(x) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This already implies that  $\hat{\vartheta}$  is finite, strictly increasing and continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ . (For instance, for  $x > 1$  it follows  $\hat{\vartheta}(x) \leq \hat{\vartheta}(1) + x - 1$ .) Moreover, one easily verifies that  $\hat{\vartheta}$  is concave.

Since  $\nu_+, \nu_-$  are the minimal nonnegative measures in the ('Hahn' or 'Jordan') decomposition of  $\nu = \nu_+ - \nu_-$ , it follows that  $(\check{\vartheta}, \hat{\vartheta})$  is a minimal cc decomposition of  $\vartheta$ .  $\square$

**Examples 1.3.** • Each convex function  $\vartheta$  is a ccc function. A minimal factorization is given by  $(\vartheta, Id)$ .

• Each concave function  $\vartheta$  is a ccc function. A minimal factorization is given by  $(Id, \vartheta)$ .

• Each  $\mathcal{C}^2$  function  $\vartheta$  with  $\vartheta'(0+) > 0$  is a ccc function. The minimal factorization is given by

$$\hat{\vartheta}(x) := \int_0^x \exp\left(\int_1^y \frac{\vartheta''(z) \wedge 0}{\vartheta'(z)} dz\right) dy$$

and  $\check{\vartheta} := \vartheta \circ \hat{\vartheta}^{-1}$ . (The condition  $\vartheta'(0+) > 0$  can be replaced by the strictly weaker requirement that the previous integral defining  $\hat{\vartheta}$  is finite.)

## 2 The Metric Space $L^\vartheta(X, \mu)$

Let  $(X, \Xi, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $(\varphi, \psi)$  a minimal ccc factorization of a given function  $\vartheta$ . Then  $L^\vartheta(X, \mu)$  will denote the space of all measurable functions  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\int_X \varphi\left(\frac{1}{t}\psi(|f|)\right) d\mu < \infty$$

for some  $t \in (0, \infty)$  where as usual functions which agree almost everywhere are identified. Note that – due to the fact that  $r \mapsto \varphi(r)$  for large  $r$  grows at least linearly – the previous condition is equivalent to the condition  $\int_X \varphi\left(\frac{1}{t}\psi(|f|)\right) d\mu \leq 1$  for some  $t \in (0, \infty)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.**  $L^\vartheta(X, \mu)$  is a complete metric space with the metric

$$d_\vartheta(f, g) = \inf \left\{ t \in (0, \infty) : \int_X \varphi\left(\frac{1}{t}\psi(|f - g|)\right) d\mu \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The definition of this metric does not depend on the choice of the minimal ccc factorization of the function  $\vartheta$ . However, choosing an arbitrary convex-concave factorization of  $\vartheta$  might change the value of  $d_\vartheta$ .

Note that always  $d_\vartheta(f, g) = d_\vartheta(f - g, 0)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f, g, h \in L^\vartheta(X, \mu)$  be given and choose  $r, s > 0$  with  $d_\vartheta(f, g) < r$  and  $d_\vartheta(g, h) < s$ . The latter implies

$$\int_X \varphi \left( \frac{1}{r} \psi(|f - g|) \right) d\mu \leq 1, \quad \int_X \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s} \psi(|g - h|) \right) d\mu \leq 1.$$

Concavity of  $\psi$  yields  $\psi(|f - h|) \leq \psi(|f - g|) + \psi(|g - h|)$ . Put  $t = r + s$ . Then convexity of  $\varphi$  implies

$$\varphi \left( \frac{1}{t} \psi(|f - h|) \right) \leq \varphi \left( \frac{r}{t} \cdot \frac{\psi(|f - g|)}{r} + \frac{s}{t} \cdot \frac{\psi(|g - h|)}{s} \right) \leq \frac{r}{t} \cdot \varphi \left( \frac{\psi(|f - g|)}{r} \right) + \frac{s}{t} \cdot \varphi \left( \frac{\psi(|g - h|)}{s} \right).$$

Hence,

$$\int_X \varphi \left( \frac{1}{t} \psi(|f - h|) \right) d\mu \leq \frac{r}{t} \cdot \int_X \varphi \left( \frac{\psi(|f - g|)}{r} \right) d\mu + \frac{s}{t} \cdot \int_X \varphi \left( \frac{\psi(|g - h|)}{s} \right) d\mu \leq \frac{r}{t} \cdot 1 + \frac{s}{t} \cdot 1 = 1$$

and thus  $d_\vartheta(f, h) \leq t$ . This proves that  $d_\vartheta(f, h) \leq d_\vartheta(f, g) + d_\vartheta(g, h)$ .

In order to prove the completeness of the metric, let  $(f_n)_n$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $L^\vartheta$ . Then  $d_\vartheta(f_n, f_m) < \epsilon_n$  for all  $n, m$  with  $m \geq n$  and suitable  $\epsilon_n \searrow 0$ . Choose an increasing sequence of measurable sets  $X_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $\mu(X_k) < \infty$  and  $\cup_k X_k = X$ . Then

$$\int_{X_k} \varphi \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \psi(|f_n - f_m|) \right) d\mu \leq 1$$

for all  $k, m, n$  with  $m \geq n$ . Jensen's inequality implies

$$\varphi \left( \frac{1}{\mu(X_k)} \int_{X_k} \frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \psi(|f_n - f_m|) d\mu \right) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(X_k)}$$

and thus

$$\int_{X_k} |\psi(f_n) - \psi(f_m)| d\mu \leq \epsilon_n \cdot \mu(X_k) \cdot \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(X_k)} \right).$$

In other words,  $(\psi(f_n))_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^1(X_k, \mu)$ . It follows that it has a subsequence  $(\psi(f_{n_i}))_i$  which converges  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on  $X_k$ . In particular,  $(f_{n_i})_i$  converges almost everywhere on  $X_k$  towards some limiting function  $f$  (which easily is shown to be independent of  $k$ ).

Finally, Fatou's lemma now implies

$$\int_{X_k} \varphi \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \psi(|f_n - f|) \right) d\mu \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{X_k} \varphi \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \psi(|f_n - f_m|) \right) d\mu \leq 1$$

for each  $k$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,

$$\int_X \varphi \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \psi(|f_n - f|) \right) d\mu \leq 1,$$

that is,

$$d_\vartheta(f_n, f) \leq \epsilon_n$$

which proves the claim.

Finally, it remains to verify that

$$d_\vartheta(f, g) = 0 \iff f = g \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e. on } X.$$

The implication  $\Leftarrow$  is trivial. For the reverse implication, we may argue as in the previous completeness proof:  $d_\vartheta(f, g) = 0$  will yield  $\int_{X_k} \varphi \left( \frac{1}{t} \psi(|f - g|) \right) d\mu \leq 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $t > 0$  which in turn implies  $\int_{X_k} |\psi(f) - \psi(g)| d\mu = 0$ . The latter proves  $f = g$   $\mu$ -a.e. on  $X$  which is the claim.  $\square$

**Examples 2.2.** If  $\vartheta(r) = r^p$  for some  $p \in (0, \infty)$  then

$$d_\vartheta(f, g) = \left( \int_X |f - g|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p^*}$$

with  $p^* := p$  if  $p \geq 1$  and  $p^* := 1$  if  $p \leq 1$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** (i) If  $\vartheta$  is convex then  $\|f\|_{L^\vartheta(X,\mu)} := d_\vartheta(f,0)$  is indeed a norm and  $L^\vartheta(X,\mu)$  is a Banach space, called Orlicz space. The norm is called Luxemburg norm.

(ii) If  $\vartheta$  is concave then

$$d_\vartheta(f,g) = \int_X \vartheta(|f-g|) d\mu \geq \|\vartheta(f) - \vartheta(g)\|_{L^1(X,\mu)}.$$

(iii) For general ccc function  $\vartheta = \varphi \circ \psi$

$$d_\vartheta(f,g) = \|\psi(|f-g|)\|_{L^\varphi(X,\mu)}.$$

(iv) If  $\mu(M) = 1$  then for each strictly increasing, convex function  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $\Phi^{-1}(1) = 1$

$$d_{\Phi \circ \vartheta}(f,g) \geq d_\vartheta(f,g)$$

("Jensen's inequality").

*Proof.* (i) If  $\psi(r) = cr$  then obviously  $d_\vartheta(tf,0) = t \cdot d_\vartheta(f,0)$ . See also standard literature [2].

(ii) Concavity of  $\vartheta$  implies  $\vartheta(|f-g|) \geq |\vartheta(f) - \vartheta(g)|$ .

(iv) Assume that  $d_{\Phi \circ \vartheta}(f,g) < t$  for some  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . It implies

$$\int_X \Phi \left( \varphi \left( \frac{1}{t} \psi(|f-g|) \right) \right) d\mu \leq 1.$$

Classical Jensen inequality for integrals yields

$$\Phi \left( \int_X \varphi \left( \frac{1}{t} \psi(|f-g|) \right) d\mu \right) \leq 1$$

which – due to the fact that  $\Phi^{-1}(1) = 1$  – in turn implies  $d_\vartheta(f,g) \leq t$ . □

### 3 The $L^\vartheta$ -Wasserstein Space

Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete separable metric space and  $\vartheta$  a ccc function with minimal factorization  $(\varphi, \psi)$ . The  $L^\vartheta$ -Wasserstein space  $\mathcal{P}_\vartheta(X)$  is defined as the space of all probability measures  $\mu$  on  $X$  – equipped with its Borel  $\sigma$ -field – s.t.

$$\int_X \varphi \left( \frac{1}{t} \psi(d(x,y)) \right) d\mu(x) < \infty$$

for some  $y \in X$  and some  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . The  $L^\vartheta$ -Wasserstein distance of two probability measures  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_\vartheta(X)$  is defined as

$$W_\vartheta(\mu, \nu) = \inf \left\{ t > 0 : \inf_{q \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \int_{X \times X} \varphi \left( \frac{1}{t} \psi(d(x,y)) \right) dq(x,y) \leq 1 \right\}$$

where  $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$  denotes the set of all couplings of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , i.e. the set of all probability measures  $q$  on  $X \times X$  s.t.  $q(A \times X) = \mu(A), q(X \times A) = \nu(A)$  for all Borel sets  $A \subset X$ .

Given two probability measures  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_\vartheta(X)$ , a coupling  $q$  of them is called *optimal* iff

$$\int_{X \times X} \varphi \left( \frac{1}{w} \psi(d(x,y)) \right) dq(x,y) \leq 1$$

for  $w := W_\vartheta(\mu, \nu)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** For each pair of probability measures  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_\vartheta(X)$  there exists an optimal coupling  $q$ .

*Proof.* For  $t \in (0, \infty)$  define the cost function  $c_t(x,y) = \varphi(\frac{1}{t} \psi(d(x,y)))$ . Note that  $t \mapsto c_t(x,y)$  is continuous and decreasing.

Given  $\mu, \nu$  s.t.  $w := W_\vartheta(\mu, \nu) < \infty$ . Then for all  $t > w$  the measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  have finite  $c_t$ -transportation costs. More precisely,

$$\inf_{q \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \int_{X \times X} c_t(x,y) dq(x,y) \leq 1.$$

Hence, there exists  $q_n \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)$  s.t.

$$\int_{X \times X} c_{w+\frac{1}{n}}(x, y) dq_n(x, y) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n}.$$

In particular,  $\int_{X \times X} c_{w+1}(x, y) dq_n(x, y) \leq 2$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, the family  $(q_n)_n$  is tight ([3], Lemma 4.4). Therefore, there exists a converging subsequence  $(q_{n_k})_k$  with limit  $q \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)$  satisfying

$$\int_{X \times X} c_{w+\frac{1}{n}}(x, y) dq(x, y) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n}$$

for all  $n$  ([3], Lemma 4.3) and thus

$$\int_{X \times X} c_w(x, y) dq(x, y) \leq 1.$$

□

**Proposition 3.2.**  $W_\vartheta$  is a complete metric on  $\mathcal{P}_\vartheta(X)$ .

The triangle inequality for  $W_\vartheta$  is valid not only on  $\mathcal{P}_\vartheta(X)$  but on the whole space  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  of probability measures on  $X$ . The triangle inequality implies that  $W_\vartheta(\mu, \nu) < \infty$  for all  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ .

*Proof.* Given three probability measures  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$  on  $X$  and numbers  $r, s$  with  $W_\vartheta(\mu_1, \mu_2) < r$  and  $W_\vartheta(\mu_2, \mu_3) < s$ . Then there exist a coupling  $q_{12}$  of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  and a coupling  $q_{23}$  of  $\mu_2$  and  $\mu_3$  s.t.

$$\int \varphi\left(\frac{1}{r}\psi \circ d\right) dq_{12} \leq 1, \quad \int \varphi\left(\frac{1}{s}\psi \circ d\right) dq_{23} \leq 1.$$

Let  $q_{123}$  be the gluing of the two couplings  $q_{12}$  and  $q_{23}$ , see e.g. [1], Lemma 11.8.3. That is,  $q_{123}$  is a probability measure on  $X \times X \times X$  s.t. the projection onto the first two factors coincides with  $q_{12}$  and the projection onto the last two factors coincides with  $q_{23}$ . Let  $q_{13}$  denote the projection of  $q_{123}$  onto the first and third factor. In particular, this will be a coupling of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_3$ . Then for  $t := r + s$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{X \times X} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{t}\psi(d(x, z))\right) dq_{13}(x, z) \\ & \leq \int_{X \times X \times X} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{t}\psi(d(x, y) + d(y, z))\right) dq_{123}(x, y, z) \\ & \leq \int_{X \times X \times X} \varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\frac{\psi(d(x, y))}{r} + \frac{s}{t}\frac{\psi(d(y, z))}{s}\right) dq_{123}(x, y, z) \\ & \leq \frac{r}{t} \int_{X \times X \times X} \varphi\left(\frac{\psi(d(x, y))}{r}\right) dq_{123}(x, y, z) + \frac{s}{t} \int_{X \times X \times X} \varphi\left(\frac{\psi(d(y, z))}{s}\right) dq_{123}(x, y, z) \\ & \leq \frac{r}{t} \cdot 1 + \frac{s}{t} \cdot 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $W_\vartheta(\mu_1, \mu_3) \leq t$ . This proves the triangle inequality.

To prove completeness, assume that  $(\mu_k)_k$  is a  $W_\vartheta$ -Cauchy sequence, say  $W_\vartheta(\mu_n, \mu_k) \leq t_n$  for all  $k \geq n$  with  $t_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there exist couplings  $q_{n,k}$  of  $\mu_n$  and  $\mu_k$  s.t.

$$\int \varphi\left(\frac{1}{t_n}\psi(d(x, y))\right) dq_{n,k}(x, y) \leq 1. \quad (3)$$

Jensen's inequality implies

$$\int \tilde{d}(x, y) dq_{n,k}(x, y) \leq t_n \cdot \varphi^{-1}(1)$$

with  $\tilde{d}(x, y) := \psi(d(x, y))$ . The latter is a complete metric on  $X$  with the same topology as  $d$ . That is,  $(\mu_k)_k$  is a Cauchy sequence w.r.t. the  $L^1$ -Wasserstein distance on  $\mathcal{P}(X, \tilde{d})$ . Because of completeness of  $\mathcal{P}_1(X, \tilde{d})$ , we thus obtain an accumulation point  $\mu$  and a converging subsequence  $(\mu_{k_i})_i$ . According to [3], Lemma 4.4, this also yields an accumulation point  $q_n$  of the sequence  $(q_{n, k_i})_i$ . Continuity of the involved cost functions – together with Fatou's lemma – allows to pass to the limit in (3) to derive

$$\int \varphi\left(\frac{1}{t_n}\psi(d(x, y))\right) dq_n(x, y) \leq 1$$

which proves that  $W_\vartheta(\mu, \mu_n) \leq t_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

With a similar argument, one verifies that  $W_\vartheta(\mu, \nu) = 0$  if and only if  $\mu = \nu$ . □

**Remark 3.3.** For each pair of probability measures  $\mu, \nu$  on  $X$

$$W_\vartheta(\mu, \nu) \leq 1 \iff \inf_{q \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \int_{X \times X} \vartheta(d(x, y)) dq(x, y) \leq 1.$$

## References

- [1] R.M. DUDLEY: *Real analysis and probability*. Cambridge Univ Pr, 2002.
- [2] M.M. RAO, Z.D. REN: *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker (1991).
- [3] C. VILLANI: *Optimal Transport, old and new*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 338 (2009), Springer Berlin · Heidelberg.