## Decomposition along the diameter of the Brownian Continuum Random Tree

#### Minmin Wang

Université de Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France

27 May 2014

limit theorems for height and diameter

- ▶ Let  $n \ge 1$ . There are  $n^{n-1}$  rooted trees on vertices  $1, 2, \dots, n$  (Cayley, 1889).
  - Let  $T_n$  be a uniformly picked tree.
- Endow each edge with length 1.  $\Gamma(T_n) = \text{maximal height of } T_n$   $\mathbf{D}(T_n) = \text{diameter of } T_n$

limit theorems for height and diameter

▶ Let n ≥ 1. There are n<sup>n-1</sup> rooted trees on vertices 1, 2, · · · , n (Cayley, 1889).

Let  $T_n$  be a uniformly picked tree.

- Endow each edge with length 1.  $\Gamma(T_n) = \text{maximal height of } T_n \quad \mathbf{D}(T_n) = \text{diameter of } T_n$
- Rényi & Szekeres ('67):

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{\Gamma}(T_n) \Longrightarrow \mathbf{M}^*, \quad n \to \infty$$

where  $\mathbf{P}(M^* > x) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^2 x^2 - 1) e^{-n^2 x^2/2}$ , x > 0.

limit theorems for height and diameter

Let n ≥ 1. There are n<sup>n-1</sup> rooted trees on vertices 1, 2, · · · , n (Cayley, 1889).

Let  $T_n$  be a uniformly picked tree.

- Endow each edge with length 1.  $\Gamma(T_n) = \text{maximal height of } T_n \quad \mathbf{D}(T_n) = \text{diameter of } T_n$
- Rényi & Szekeres ('67):

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\Gamma(T_n) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{M}^*, \quad n \to \infty$$

where  $\mathbf{P}(M^* > x) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^2 x^2 - 1) e^{-n^2 x^2/2}, x > 0.$ Szekeres ('82):

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{D}(T_n) \Longrightarrow \Delta, \quad n \to \infty$$

where  $\mathbf{P}(\Delta > x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^2 - 1)(\frac{n^4 x^4}{24} - n^2 x^2 + 2)e^{-n^2 x^2/8}$ .

limit theorem for trees

$$\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}T_n, n \geq 1\right\}$$
 converges in distribution.

limit theorem for trees

### Aldous' Theorem ('91):

$$\left\{ rac{1}{\sqrt{n}} T_n, n \geq 1 
ight\}$$
 converges in distribution.

a planar tree  ${\cal T}$ 



limit theorem for trees

### Aldous' Theorem ('91):

$$\left\{ rac{1}{\sqrt{n}} T_n, n \geq 1 
ight\}$$
 converges in distribution.

a planar tree  ${\cal T}$ 



limit theorem for trees

$$\left\{ rac{1}{\sqrt{n}} T_n, n \geq 1 
ight\}$$
 converges in distribution.



limit theorem for trees

$$\left\{ rac{1}{\sqrt{n}} T_n, n \geq 1 
ight\}$$
 converges in distribution.



limit theorem for trees

$$\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}T_n, n \geq 1\right\}$$
 converges in distribution.



limit theorem for trees

$$\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}T_n, n \geq 1\right\}$$
 converges in distribution.



limit theorem for trees

$$\left\{ rac{1}{\sqrt{n}} T_n, n \geq 1 
ight\}$$
 converges in distribution.



limit theorem for trees

$$\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}T_n, n \geq 1\right\}$$
 converges in distribution.



limit theorem for trees

$$\left\{ rac{1}{\sqrt{n}} T_n, n \geq 1 
ight\}$$
 converges in distribution.



limit theorem for trees

$$\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}T_n, n \geq 1\right\}$$
 converges in distribution.



limit theorem for trees

$$\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}T_n, n \geq 1\right\}$$
 converges in distribution.



limit theorem for trees

Aldous' Theorem ('91):

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{C}(T_n) \Longrightarrow 2e \text{ in } \mathbf{C}([0,1]),$$

limit theorem for trees

Aldous' Theorem ('91):

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{C}(T_n) \Longrightarrow 2e \text{ in } \mathbf{C}([0,1]),$$



limit theorem for trees

Aldous' Theorem ('91):

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{C}(T_n) \Longrightarrow 2e \text{ in } \mathbf{C}([0,1]),$$



limit theorem for trees

Aldous' Theorem ('91):

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{C}(T_n) \Longrightarrow 2e \text{ in } \mathbf{C}([0,1]),$$

```
more generally, f \in \mathbf{C}_c, f \ge 0,
```



limit theorem for trees

Aldous' Theorem ('91):

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{C}(T_n) \Longrightarrow 2e \text{ in } \mathbf{C}([0,1]),$$

```
more generally, f \in \mathbf{C}_c, f \ge 0,
```



limit theorem for trees

Aldous' Theorem ('91):

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{C}(T_n) \Longrightarrow 2e \text{ in } \mathbf{C}([0,1]),$$



limit theorem for trees

Aldous' Theorem ('91):

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{C}(T_n) \Longrightarrow 2e \text{ in } \mathbf{C}([0,1]),$$



limit theorem for trees

Aldous' Theorem ('91):

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{C}(T_n) \Longrightarrow 2e \text{ in } \mathbf{C}([0,1]),$$



limit theorem for trees

Aldous' Theorem ('91):

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{C}(T_n) \Longrightarrow 2e \text{ in } \mathbf{C}([0,1]),$$



limit theorems

► Aldous' Theorem:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}T_n \Longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{br},$$

in the sense that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_n) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}^{br})$  in  $\mathbf{C}([0,1])$ .

limit theorems

▶ For a tree T with its contour function ( $C_s$ ,  $0 \le s \le 1$ ),

$$\Gamma(T) = \sup_{0 \le s \le 1} d_{\mathcal{C}}(0,s) = \sup_{0 \le s \le 1} \left( \mathcal{C}_s + \mathcal{C}_0 - 2I_{\mathcal{C}}(0,s) \right) = \sup_{0 \le s \le 1} \mathcal{C}_s.$$

Aldous' Theorem:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}T_n \Longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{br},$$

in the sense that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_n) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}^{br})$  in  $\mathbf{C}([0,1])$ .

limit theorems

▶ For a tree T with its contour function ( $C_s$ ,  $0 \le s \le 1$ ),

$$\Gamma(T) = \sup_{0 \le s \le 1} d_{\mathcal{C}}(0,s) = \sup_{0 \le s \le 1} \left( \mathcal{C}_s + \mathcal{C}_0 - 2l_{\mathcal{C}}(0,s) \right) = \sup_{0 \le s \le 1} \mathcal{C}_s.$$

Aldous' Theorem:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}T_n \Longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{br},$$

in the sense that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_n) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}^{br})$  in  $\mathbf{C}([0,1])$ .

In consequence,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{\Gamma}(T_n) \Longrightarrow \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}^{br}).$$

limit theorems

▶ For a tree T with its contour function ( $C_s$ ,  $0 \le s \le 1$ ),

$$\Gamma(T) = \sup_{0 \le s \le 1} d_{\mathcal{C}}(0,s) = \sup_{0 \le s \le 1} \left( \mathcal{C}_s + \mathcal{C}_0 - 2l_{\mathcal{C}}(0,s) \right) = \sup_{0 \le s \le 1} \mathcal{C}_s.$$

Aldous' Theorem:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}T_n \Longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{br},$$

in the sense that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_n) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}^{br})$  in  $\mathbf{C}([0,1])$ .

In consequence,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}_n) \Longrightarrow \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}^{br}).$$

Rényi & Szekeres:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{\Gamma}(T_n) \Longrightarrow \mathbf{M}^*.$$

# $\begin{array}{l} \text{Motivation} \\ \text{distribution of } \Gamma(\mathcal{T}^{\textit{br}}) \end{array}$

We must have

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{T}^{br}) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathrm{M}^*,$$

where  $M^*$  is the limit distribution of  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\Gamma(T_n)$ , given by

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{M}^* > x) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^2 x^2 - 1)e^{-n^2 x^2/2}, \quad x > 0.$$

# Motivation distribution of $\Gamma(\mathcal{T}^{br})$

We must have

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{T}^{br}) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathrm{M}^*,$$

where  $M^*$  is the limit distribution of  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\Gamma(T_n)$ , given by

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{M}^* > x) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^2 x^2 - 1) e^{-n^2 x^2/2}, \quad x > 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{T}^{br}) = 2 \sup_{0 \le s \le 1} e_s,$$

whose distribution has been deduced independently by Kennedy, Chung, Durrett et al. in the 70s.

limit theorems

Aldous' Theorem:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}T_n \Longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{br},$$

in the sense that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_n) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}^{br})$  in  $\mathbf{C}([0,1])$ .

limit theorems

For a tree T with its contour function  $(C_s, 0 \le s \le 1)$ ,

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}) = \sup_{0 \le s \le t \le 1} d_{\mathcal{C}}(s,t) = \sup_{0 \le s \le t \le 1} \Big( \mathcal{C}_s + \mathcal{C}_t - 2l_{\mathcal{C}}(s,t) \Big).$$

Aldous' Theorem:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}T_n \Longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{br},$$

in the sense that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_n) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}^{br})$  in  $\mathbf{C}([0,1])$ .

limit theorems

▶ For a tree T with its contour function ( $C_s$ ,  $0 \le s \le 1$ ),

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}) = \sup_{0 \le s \le t \le 1} d_{\mathcal{C}}(s,t) = \sup_{0 \le s \le t \le 1} \Big( \mathcal{C}_s + \mathcal{C}_t - 2l_{\mathcal{C}}(s,t) \Big).$$

Aldous' Theorem:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}T_n \Longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{br},$$

in the sense that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_n) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}^{br})$  in  $\mathbf{C}([0,1])$ .

In consequence,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{D}(T_n) \Longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}^{br}).$$

limit theorems

For a tree T with its contour function  $(C_s, 0 \le s \le 1)$ ,

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}) = \sup_{0 \le s \le t \le 1} d_{\mathcal{C}}(s,t) = \sup_{0 \le s \le t \le 1} \Big( \mathcal{C}_s + \mathcal{C}_t - 2I_{\mathcal{C}}(s,t) \Big).$$

Aldous' Theorem:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}T_n \Longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{br},$$

in the sense that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_n) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}^{br})$  in  $\mathbf{C}([0,1])$ .

In consequence,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{D}(T_n) \Longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}^{br}).$$

Szekeres:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{D}(T_n) \Longrightarrow \Delta.$$

### Motivation a question of Aldous

We must have

$$\mathsf{D}(\mathcal{T}^{br}) \stackrel{d}{=} \Delta,$$

where  $\Delta$  is the limit distribution of  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{D}(T_n)$ , given by

$$\mathbf{P}(\Delta > x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^2 - 1) \left( \frac{n^4 x^4}{24} - n^2 x^2 + 2 \right) e^{-n^2 x^2/8}, \quad x > 0.$$

### Motivation a question of Aldous

We must have

$$\mathsf{D}(\mathcal{T}^{br}) \stackrel{d}{=} \Delta,$$

where  $\Delta$  is the limit distribution of  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{D}(T_n)$ , given by

$$\mathbf{P}(\Delta > x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^2 - 1) \left( \frac{n^4 x^4}{24} - n^2 x^2 + 2 \right) e^{-n^2 x^2/8}, \quad x > 0.$$

Aldous (CRT II, '91): Can we deduce the distribution of

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}^{br}) = 2 \sup_{0 \le s \le t \le 1} \left( e_s + e_t - 2I_e(s, t) \right)$$

from the Brownian excursion itself?

first observation



$$d(u_0, v_0) = \mathbf{D}(T)$$
$$d(u_0, \rho) \ge d(v_0, \rho)$$

first observation



 $d(u_0, v_0) = \mathbf{D}(T)$  $d(u_0, \rho) \ge d(v_0, \rho)$ 

then 
$$d(u_0, \rho) = \mathbf{\Gamma}(T)$$

proof on a picture



proof on a picture: first case



proof on a picture: second case



proof on a picture: third case



first observation

• Let T be a tree rooted at  $\rho$ . If  $u_0, v_0 \in T$  such that

$$d(u_0, v_0) = \mathbf{D}(T), \quad d(u_0, \rho) \ge d(v_0, \rho),$$

then

$$d(u_0,\rho)=\mathbf{\Gamma}(T).$$

first observation

• Let T be a tree rooted at  $\rho$ . If  $u_0, v_0 \in T$  such that

$$d(u_0, v_0) = \mathbf{D}(T), \quad d(u_0, \rho) \geq d(v_0, \rho),$$

then

$$d(u_0,\rho)=\mathbf{\Gamma}(T).$$

▶ By the properties of the Brownian excursion, there exists almost surely a unique point s<sub>0</sub> such that

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{T}^{br}) = 2 \sup_{0 \le s \le 1} e_s = 2e_{s_0}.$$

first observation

• Let T be a tree rooted at  $\rho$ . If  $u_0, v_0 \in T$  such that

$$d(u_0, v_0) = \mathbf{D}(T), \quad d(u_0, \rho) \geq d(v_0, \rho),$$

then

$$d(u_0,\rho)=\mathbf{\Gamma}(T).$$

▶ By the properties of the Brownian excursion, there exists almost surely a unique point s<sub>0</sub> such that

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{T}^{br}) = 2 \sup_{0 \le s \le 1} e_s = 2e_{s_0}.$$

Therefore, almost surely,

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}^{br}) = \sup_{0 \le s \le t \le 1} d_{2e}(s,t) = \sup_{0 \le t \le 1} d_{2e}(s_0,t).$$

Williams' decomposition

- Let n<sub>+</sub> be the Ito's excursion measure on C(R<sub>+</sub>, R<sub>+</sub>). Let (ω<sub>s</sub>, 0 ≤ s ≤ ζ) be the coordinate process.
- Under  $n_+$ , there exists a unique point  $s_0$  such that

$$\omega_{s_0} = \sup_{0 \le s \le \zeta} \omega_s.$$

• Under  $n_+$  and given  $\omega_{s_0} = c$ ,

$$(\omega_s, 0 \leq s \leq s_0)$$
 and  $(\omega_{\zeta-s}, 0 \leq s \leq \zeta - s_0)$ 

are distributed as two independent  $BES^{3}(0)$  processes which run until hitting *c*.

Williams' decomposition



Williams' decomposition



Williams' decomposition: a representation by tree

Under 
$$n_+(\cdot | \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}) = c)$$
, $\sum_{i \geq 1} \delta_{(\ell_i, \mathcal{T}_i)}$ 

is a Poisson point measure of intensity

 $dt \cdot n_{c-t}$ 

where  $n_a = n_+(\cdot | \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}) < a)$  is the restriction of  $n_+$  on  $\{\mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}) < a\}$ .

observation on the diameter

calculation

Notice that 
$$\zeta = \sum_{i \ge 1} \zeta_i$$
. Then, for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $y > c$ ,

$$n_{+}\left(e^{-\lambda\zeta}\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T})\leq y\}}\Big|\mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T})=c\right) = \mathbf{E}\left[\prod_{i\geq 1}e^{-\lambda\zeta_{i}}\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}_{i})+c-\ell_{i}\leq y\}}\right]$$
$$= \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{c}dt\cdot n_{c-t}\left(1-e^{-\lambda\zeta}\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T})+c-t\leq y\}}\right)\right)$$
$$= \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{2}c^{2}\lambda\sinh^{2}((y-c)\sqrt{2\lambda})}{\sinh^{4}(y\sqrt{\lambda/2})}, & y<2c\\ \frac{\sqrt{2}c^{2}\lambda}{\sinh^{2}(c\sqrt{2\lambda})}, & y\geq 2c. \end{cases}$$

Here, we have used the fact that  

$$n_{+}(1 - e^{-\lambda\zeta} \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}) < a\}}) = \sqrt{\lambda/2} \operatorname{coth}(a\sqrt{2\lambda})$$
  
 $(\Leftrightarrow n_{+}(e^{-\lambda\zeta} | \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}) = a) = \left(\frac{a\sqrt{2\lambda}}{\sinh(a\sqrt{2\lambda})}\right)^{2}).$ 

calculation

By integrating with respect to  $n_+(\Gamma(\mathcal{T}) > c) = 1/(2c)$ , we find that for each y > 0,

$$n_{+}\left(e^{-\lambda\zeta}\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T})>y\}}\right) = \sqrt{\lambda/2}\left(\coth(\sqrt{\lambda/2}y) - 1\right)$$
$$-\frac{1}{\sinh^{2}(\sqrt{\lambda/2}y)}\left(\sqrt{\lambda/8}\coth(\sqrt{\lambda/2}y) - \frac{\lambda y}{4\sinh^{2}(\sqrt{\lambda/2}y)}\right)$$

.

spinal decomposition along the height

Since 
$$(\zeta^{-1/2} \cdot \omega_{s\zeta})_{0 \le s \le 1} \stackrel{d}{=} (e_s)_{0 \le s \le 1}$$
,  
 $\zeta^{-1/2} \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}^{br})/2$ .

Therefore,

$$n_+\left(e^{-\lambda\zeta}\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T})>y\}}\right)$$
  
=  $\int e^{-\lambda x} n_+(\zeta \in dx) \cdot \mathbf{P}\left(\sqrt{x} \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}^{br})/2 > y\right).$ 

conclusion

By the inverse Laplace transform and the fact that  $n_+(\zeta \in dx) = (2\sqrt{2\pi x^3})^{-1}dx$ , we find

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}^{br}) > y\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^2 - 1) \left(\frac{n^4 y^4}{24} - n^2 y^2 + 2\right) e^{-n^2 y^2/8}, \quad y > 0.$$

conclusion

By the inverse Laplace transform and the fact that  $n_+(\zeta \in dx) = (2\sqrt{2\pi x^3})^{-1}dx$ , we find

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}^{br}) > y\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^2 - 1) \left(\frac{n^4 y^4}{24} - n^2 y^2 + 2\right) e^{-n^2 y^2/8}, \quad y > 0.$$

Recall Jacobi's identity on the theta function:

$$\text{if} \quad \theta(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 \pi x}, \quad \text{then} \quad \sqrt{x} \theta(x) = \theta(x^{-1})$$

for each x > 0. It follows that

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}^{br}) > y\right) &= 1 - 2^{37/2} \pi^{5/2} y^{-9} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1024}{3} \pi^4 n^4 - 24 \pi^2 n^2 y^2 + \frac{2}{3} \pi^2 n^2 y^4 + \frac{1}{4} y^4\right) e^{-64 \pi^2 n^2 / y^2}, \quad y > 0. \end{split}$$

joint law of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{T}^{\textit{br}})$  and  $D(\mathcal{T}^{\textit{br}})$ 

► We have calculated 
$$n_+ \Big( e^{-\lambda\zeta} \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}) \leq y\}} \Big| \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}) = c \Big).$$

joint law of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{T}^{\textit{br}})$  and  $D(\mathcal{T}^{\textit{br}})$ 

- ► We have calculated  $n_+ \left( e^{-\lambda \zeta} \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}) \leq y\}} \middle| \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}) = c \right)$ .
- By integration, we find an expression for

$$n_+\left(e^{-\lambda\zeta}\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T})>y,\,\mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T})>z\}}\right).$$

joint law of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{T}^{\textit{br}})$  and  $D(\mathcal{T}^{\textit{br}})$ 

- ► We have calculated  $n_+ \left( e^{-\lambda \zeta} \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}) \leq y\}} \middle| \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}) = c \right)$ .
- By integration, we find an expression for

$$n_+\left(e^{-\lambda\zeta}\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathsf{D}(\mathcal{T})>y,\,\mathsf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T})>z\}}\right).$$

By the scaling property,

$$n_+ \left( e^{-\lambda \zeta} \mathbf{1}_{\{ \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}) > y, \, \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}) > z \}} \right)$$
  
=  $\int e^{-\lambda x} n_+ (\zeta \in dx) \cdot \mathbf{P} \left( \sqrt{x} \, \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}^{br})/2 > y, \sqrt{x} \, \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}^{br})/2 > z \right).$ 

joint law of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{T}^{br})$  and  $D(\mathcal{T}^{br})$ 

- ► We have calculated  $n_+ \left( e^{-\lambda \zeta} \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}) \leq y\}} \middle| \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}) = c \right)$ .
- By integration, we find an expression for

$$n_+\left(e^{-\lambda\zeta}\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T})>y,\mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T})>z\}}\right).$$

By the scaling property,

$$n_+ \left( e^{-\lambda \zeta} \mathbf{1}_{\{ \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}) > y, \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}) > z \}} \right)$$
  
=  $\int e^{-\lambda x} n_+ (\zeta \in dx) \cdot \mathbf{P} \left( \sqrt{x} \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}^{br})/2 > y, \sqrt{x} \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}^{br})/2 > z \right).$ 

• We deduce for m + n > 1,

$$2^{(m+n)/2} \cdot \mathbf{E} \Big[ \mathbf{D} (\mathcal{T}^{br})^m \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} (\mathcal{T}^{br})^n \Big] = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\frac{m+n-1}{2})} \int_0^\infty du \int_{u/2}^u dv \ u^m v^n$$
$$\cdot \frac{\sinh(2(u-v)) - 2\sinh^2(u-v)\coth(u/2)}{\sinh^4(u/2)}.$$

decomposition along the diameter of  $\mathcal{T}^{\textit{br}}$ 

a spinal decomposition along the maximal height of  $\mathcal{T}$  under  $n_+$ 



decomposition along the diameter of  $\mathcal{T}^{\textit{br}}$ 

a spinal decomposition along the maximal height of  $\mathcal{T}_{i_0}$  under  $n_{c-\ell_i}$ 



decomposition along the diameter of  $\mathcal{T}^{\textit{br}}$ 

► We obtain a spinal decomposition along the diameter of T under n<sub>+</sub>.

decomposition along the diameter of  $\mathcal{T}^{\textit{br}}$ 

- ► We obtain a spinal decomposition along the diameter of T under n<sub>+</sub>.
- By the scaling property, we deduce a spinal decomposition along the diameter of T<sup>br</sup>, which can be written as a conditioned Poisson point measure.

### Generalization

- Lévy trees are the scaling limits of Galton-Watson trees, generalizing the Brownian CRT:
  - A decomposition along the diameter of a Lévy tree under the excursion measure.
- An important subclass: stable tree:
  - Laplace transforms for the height and the diameter of a stable tree;
  - Asymptotics of the probabilities for the height (resp. diameter) to be large.

# Thank you!