

Construction and Properties of Continuous-state Branching Processes with Memory

Speaker: *Luisa Andreis*

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA

Joint work with *Prof. Laura Sacerdote*
Dott. Federico Polito

Bonn, 27 May 2014

Galton-Watson process

$$\{Z(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \text{ with } Z(n) \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Definition

Let $\{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Markov chain s.t.

$$\begin{cases} Z_0 > 0 \\ Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_{n-1}} \xi_i^{(n)} \text{ per } n = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

and $\xi_i^{(n)}$ are i.i.d. random variables in \mathbb{N} .

Branching property

Let $\{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{Z_n^{(1)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{Z_n^{(2)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be three Galton-Watson processes independent with the same offspring distribution in formula (1). If $Z_0 = x + y$, $Z_0^{(1)} = x$ and $Z_0^{(2)} = y$, then $\forall n > 0$

$$Z_n \stackrel{d}{=} Z_n^{(1)} + Z_n^{(2)}. \quad (2)$$

Continuous-state Branching Process and Limit theorem

$$\{X(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}, \text{ with } X(t) \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Branching property

For each initial condition $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then for any fixed $t \geq 0$, the markov transition kernels satisfy

$$P_t(x + y, \cdot) = P_t(x, \cdot) * P_t(y, \cdot)$$

Continuous-state Branching Process and Limit theorem

$$\{X(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}, \text{ with } X(t) \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Branching property

For each initial condition $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then for any fixed $t \geq 0$, the markov transition kernels satisfy

$$P_t(x + y, \cdot) = P_t(x, \cdot) * P_t(y, \cdot)$$

Theorem (Aliev, Shurenkov (1982))

Let $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a CSBP, then there exists a sequence of GW processes $\{Z^{(k)}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ for $k \geq 0$ such that

$$\left\{ \frac{Z^{(k)}(\lfloor \gamma_k t \rfloor)}{k} \right\}_{t \geq 0} \implies \{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \text{ for } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3)$$

where $\frac{Z^{(k)}(0)}{k} \rightarrow X(0)$; γ_k is a sequence of positive reals tending to infinity and \implies means weak convergence in Skorhokod space $\mathbb{D}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$.

How can we provide a GW process with memory?

We set random waiting times between successive generations of each Galton-Watson process $\{Z(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Let J_1, J_2, \dots be i.i.d. random waiting times, then we define the processes

$$\{T(n)\}_{n \geq 0} \text{ t.c. } \begin{cases} T(0) = 0; \\ T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n J_i. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$N(t) = \sup\{n \geq 0 : T(n) \leq t\}. \quad (5)$$

The main idea is to consider the modified process

$$\{Z(N(t))\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}.$$

Infinite mean waiting times

Let J_1, J_2, \dots be waiting times belonging to $DOA(D)$, with D stable r.v. with index $\beta \in (0, 1)$. There exists a sequence $b(n)$ s.t.

$$b(n)T(n) \xrightarrow{d} D \quad (6)$$

and

$$\{b(n)T(\lfloor nt \rfloor)\}_{t \geq 0} \Longrightarrow \{D(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$$

where $D(t)$ is a *stable subordinator* with index β .

Theorem (Becker-Kern, Meerschaert, Scheffler (2004))

There exists a sequence $\tilde{b}(n)$ s.t.

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{b}(n)} N(\lfloor nt \rfloor) \right\}_{t \geq 0} \Longrightarrow \{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \quad (7)$$

in $\mathbb{D}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$, where $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the *inverse subordinator* defined as

$$E(t) = \inf \{x, D(x) > t\}. \quad (8)$$

Limit in the product space

For the GW process we have

$$\left\{ \frac{Z^{(k)}(\lfloor \gamma_k t \rfloor)}{k} \right\}_{t \geq 0} \implies \{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \text{ per } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (9)$$

and for the waiting times we have

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{b}(k)} N(\lfloor kt \rfloor) \right\}_{t \geq 0} \implies \{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \text{ per } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (10)$$

Theorem

Let $\{Z^{(k)}(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of GW s.t. limit (9) holds, let J_1, J_2, \dots i.i.d. waiting times belonging to the DOA of a stable law, such that limit (10) holds, then

$$\left(\frac{Z^{(k)}(\lfloor \tilde{b}_k t \rfloor)}{k}, \frac{N(\lfloor kt \rfloor)}{\tilde{b}_k} \right) \implies (X(t), E(t)) \quad (11)$$

in the product space $\mathbb{D}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+) \times \mathbb{D}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$.

Composition map

Composition map

$$C : \mathbb{D}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+) \times \mathbb{D}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$$

$$(x, y) \rightarrow x(y)$$

is continuous when applied to this processes.

Theorem

Under previous hypothesis, the convergence of the rescaled compound process holds

$$\left\{ \frac{Z^{(k)}(N(\lfloor kt \rfloor))}{k} \right\}_{t \geq 0} \implies \{X(E(t))\}_{t \geq 0}$$

for $k \rightarrow \infty$, in $\mathbb{D}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$.

Branching property

The CSBP with memory $\{X(E(t))\}_{t \geq 0}$ does not satisfy the classical branching property, however it holds a modified version with the conditional expectation:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E}_{x+y} \left[e^{-\lambda X(E(t))} \mid E(t) \right] \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E}_x \left[e^{-\lambda X(E(t))} \mid E(t) \right] \mathbb{E}_y \left[e^{-\lambda X(E(t))} \mid E(t) \right] \right],$$

for all $x, y > 0$ and all $\lambda > 0$

First and second moments of CSBP with memory

Theorem

The first and the second moments of the process $\{X(E(t))\}_{t \geq 0}$, when they exist, have explicit form

$$\mathbb{E}_x[X(E(t))] = xE_\beta(-bt^\beta),$$

$$\mathbb{E}_x[X(E(t))^2] = \begin{cases} x^2 + x\tilde{\beta} \frac{\Gamma(2)}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} t^\beta & \text{if } b = 0 \\ x^2 E_\beta(-2bt^\beta) - \frac{\tilde{\beta}x}{b} (E_\beta(-2bt^\beta) - E_\beta(-bt^\beta)) & \text{if } b \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

where E_β is the Mittag-Leffler function of order β , $b > 0$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ are parameters of the CSBP, from the branching mechanism.

Remark: Mittag-Leffler function is

$$E_\beta(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\beta k + 1)}. \quad (12)$$

Particular case: Feller branching diffusion

Let $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the solution to SDE

$$dX_t = bX_t dt + \sqrt{\tilde{\beta} X_t} dW_t, \quad (13)$$

where $\{W_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a standard BM and the initial condition is $X_0 = x$.
The transition density $p_x(y, t)$ satisfies the Fokker-Planck equation










$$\partial_t p_x(y, t) = -b p_x(y, t) + (\tilde{\beta} - by) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} p_x(y, t) + \frac{\tilde{\beta} y}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} p_x(y, t).$$

Theorem

Under previous hypothesis, let $\{X(E(t))\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a CSBP with memory, with $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ Feller branching diffusion. If there exist the density $m_x(y, t)$, then it satisfies the fractional differential equation:

$$\partial_t^\beta m_x(y, t) = -b m_x(y, t) + (\tilde{\beta} - by) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} m_x(y, t) + \frac{\tilde{\beta} y}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} m_x(y, t),$$

with ∂_t^β is the Caputo fractional derivatives.

-  S. A. Aliev, V. M. Shurenkov, *Transitional phenomena and the convergence of Galton-Watson processes to Jiřina processes*, 1982, Theory Probab. Appl., vol. 27, No. 3, pp 472-485
-  W. Feller, *Diffusion processes in genetics*, 1951, Proc. Second Berkeley Sympos. math. Statist. Probability, pp 227-246
-  J. Lamperti, *Continuous-state branching processes*, 1967, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol 73, pp 382-386
-  J. Lamperti, *The Limit of a sequence of Branching Processes*, 1967, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb. 7, pp 271-288
-  Z. Li, *Continuous-state branching processes*, 2012, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China
-  M. Mainardi, R. Gorenflo, *On Mittag-Leffler-type functions in fractional evolution processes*, 2000, J. Comp. Appl. Math., vol 118, pp 283-299
-  P. Becker-Kern, M.M.Meerschaert, H.P.Scheffler, *Limit theorems for continuous-time random walks with infinite mean waiting times*, 2004, J. Appl. Prob., 41, pp 623-638
-  M.M.Meerschaert, A.Sikorskii, *Stochastic Models for Fractional Calculus*, 2012, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin/Boston
-  M.M.Meerschaert, P.Straka, *Inverse stable subordinators*, 2013, Math. Model. Nat. Phenom., vol. 8, No. 2, pp 1-16

Thank you!

Luisa Andreis