## Problem 1 (Lower semicontinuity).

Let X be a topological space and let  $F: X \to \mathbb{R}$ .

- a) Prove that the following properties are equivalent:
  - (i) F is (sequentially) lower semicontinuous;
  - (ii) for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  the set  $\{x \in X : F(x) \leq t\}$  is (sequentially) closed.
- b) Assume that X is a Banach space, and let  $F : X \to \mathbb{R}$  be convex. Show that F is (sequentially) lower semicontinuous with respect to the *strong* topology if and only if it is (sequentially) lower semicontinuous with respect to the *weak* topology. Recall that a convex set is closed in the strong topology if and only if it is closed in the weak topology: see, for instance, Brezis, Functional Analysis.

## Problem 2 (Direct method of the Calculus of Variations).

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and bounded, and let  $1 . Let <math>f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the following assumptions:

- a) for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the map  $x \mapsto f(x,\xi)$  is measurable in  $\Omega$ ;
- b) for almost every  $x \in \Omega$  the map  $\xi \mapsto f(x,\xi)$  is continuous and convex on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ;
- c)  $f(x,\xi) \ge a(x) + b|\xi|^p$  for some  $a \in L^1(\Omega)$  and b > 0.

Define the functional

$$F(u) := \int_{\Omega} f(x, \nabla u(x)) \, \mathrm{d}x \,, \qquad u \in W_0^{1, p}(\Omega).$$

Prove that:

- a) F is sequentially lower semicontinuous with respect to the strong topology of  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .
- b) There is a solution to the minimum problem

$$\min_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} F(u) \, \cdot \,$$

Hint: use the result in Problem 1.

Please turn over.

Problem 3 (Nonexistence of minimizers: lack of compactness). Let  $X = \{u \in W^{1,1}(-1,1) : u(-1) = -1, u(1) = 1\}$ , and let

$$F(u) = \int_{-1}^{1} (1 + |x|) |u'(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x \, .$$

Show that  $\inf_{u \in X} F(u) = 2$  and that F has no minimizer in X.

Problem 4 (Nonexistence of minimizers: lack of convexity). Let

$$F(u) = \int_0^1 \left[ u(x)^2 + \left( u'(x)^2 - 1 \right)^2 \right] \mathrm{d}x \,.$$

Show that  $\inf_{u \in H_0^1(0,1)} F(u) = 0$  and that F has no minimizer in  $H_0^1(0,1)$ .

## Problem 5 (Necessity of convexity for lower semicontinuity).

In this exercise we show the construction used to generalize to dimensions n > 1 the proof of the theorem seen in the lecture on Thursday.

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and bounded, and let  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be a Carathéodory function such that for every R > 0 there exists  $g_R \in L^1(\Omega)$  with  $|f(x,p)| \leq g_R(x)$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ and for every  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume that the functional

$$F(u) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, \nabla u(x)) \,\mathrm{d}x, \qquad u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega),$$

is sequentially lower semicontinuous with respect to the weak\*-convergence in  $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ . The aim is to show that the map  $p \mapsto f(x,p)$  is convex for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ .

Fix  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , and let  $p = \lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2$ . Let  $e := \frac{p_2 - p_1}{|p_2 - p_1|}$  and decompose the three vectors  $p, p_1, p_2$  in their components parallel and orthogonal to e:

$$p_1 = p_1^{\parallel} e + p_1^{\perp}, \quad p_2 = p_2^{\parallel} e + p_2^{\perp}, \quad p = p^{\parallel} e + p^{\perp},$$

(notice that  $p_1^{\perp} = p_2^{\perp} = p^{\perp}$ ). Let  $u_k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the functions defined in the lecture, which satisfy the property

$$u'_{k} = \begin{cases} p_{1}^{\parallel} & \text{on } A_{k} = \left(\frac{m}{k}, \frac{m+\lambda}{k}\right), \ m \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ p_{2}^{\parallel} & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \setminus A_{k}, \end{cases} \qquad \qquad u'_{k} \rightharpoonup \lambda p_{1}^{\parallel} + (1-\lambda)p_{2}^{\parallel} & \text{in } w^{*}-L^{\infty}. \end{cases}$$

Finally, define  $\tilde{u}_k(x) = u_k(x \cdot e) + x \cdot p^{\perp}$ .

Show that  $\tilde{u}_k \rightarrow u_p$  weakly\* in  $W^{1,\infty}$ , where  $u_p(x) = x \cdot p$ , and deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x,p) \, \mathrm{d}x \le \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x,p_1) \, \mathrm{d}x + (1-\lambda) \int_{\Omega} f(x,p_2) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

for every  $p = \lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2$ , with  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . From this point the proof of the convexity of  $f(x, \cdot)$  follows exactly as in the one-dimensional case.